Reference: S2-PDGS-MPC-DQR
Issue: 70
Date: 07/12/2021

S2 MPC

L1C Data Quality Report

Ref. S2-PDGS-MPC-DQR
## Authors Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Written by</strong></td>
<td>S. Clerc &amp; MPC Team</td>
<td>ARGANS</td>
<td>Technical Manager</td>
<td>07/12/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Verified by</strong></td>
<td>O. Devignot</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Quality Manager</td>
<td>07/12/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Approved by</strong></td>
<td>L. Pessiot</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Service Manager</td>
<td>07/12/2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table of contents

1. INTRODUCTION ................................................. 6
   1.1 Scope of the document ........................................ 6
   1.2 Main points for the Reporting Period ....................... 6

2. MEASURED PRODUCT PERFORMANCES .......................... 7
   2.1 Performances Overview ...................................... 7
   2.2 Geometric Performance ...................................... 8
      2.2.1 Geometric Refinement and Global Reference Image (GRI) ........ 8
      2.2.2 Geometric Calibration Status ........................... 8
         2.2.2.1 S2A ....................................................... 8
         2.2.2.2 S2B ....................................................... 9
      2.2.3 Absolute Geolocation ..................................... 9
         2.2.3.1 Unrefined products .................................. 9
         2.2.3.2 Refined products .................................... 9
      2.2.4 Multi-Spectral Registration .............................. 10
      2.2.5 Multi-Temporal Registration ............................ 11
         2.2.5.1 Methodology ......................................... 11
         2.2.5.2 Unrefined products .................................. 11
         2.2.5.3 Refined products .................................... 12
   2.3 Radiometric Performance ..................................... 13
      2.3.1 Radiometric Calibration Status .......................... 13
         2.3.1.1 Sun-diffuser model improvement ..................... 13
         2.3.1.2 S2A ....................................................... 13
         2.3.1.3 S2B ....................................................... 14
      2.3.2 Radiometric Uncertainty ................................. 14
      2.3.3 Noise ...................................................... 16
      2.3.4 Modulation Transfer Function .......................... 18

3. PROCESSING CHAIN STATUS ...................................... 20
   3.1 Product Format .............................................. 20
   3.2 Acquisitions with low illumination conditions ............ 20
   3.3 Off-line products ........................................... 20
   3.4 Reprocessed products ....................................... 20
   3.5 Status of Processing Baselines and Known Processing Anomalies ........................................ 22
   3.6 Processing Baseline Status .................................. 27
      3.6.1 Processing baseline 03.01 ................................ 27
      3.6.2 Processing baseline 04.00 ................................ 27

4. PRODUCT ANOMALIES ........................................... 31
   4.1 Introduction ................................................... 31
   4.2 Instrument Measurement Time metadata (#4) .................. 32
   4.3 Missing Physical Gains metadata (#7) ....................... 32
4.4 Striping of SWIR Bands (#9) .................................................. 32
4.5 Striping due to lost source packets (#10)................................. 33
4.6 Missing viewing angles (#11) .................................................. 34
4.7 Anomalous Pixels (#12) ......................................................... 34
4.8 Saturation noise on Band 10 Images (#13) ............................. 34
4.9 Geolocation and Co-registration Error (#14) .......................... 35
4.10 Strong Misregistration (#15) .................................................. 36
4.11 Stretching of 60 m Bands (#16) ............................................ 36
4.12 Misaligned detectors on band 1 (#17) ................................... 36
4.13 Geolocation Error (#18) ....................................................... 37
4.14 Product footprint on the ante-meridiem (#19) ....................... 37
4.15 Degraded AUX files (#20) ..................................................... 38
4.16 Acquisition with shutter closed (#23) ................................... 38
4.17 Imprecise technical quality mask (#24) ................................ 38
4.18 Geolocation error on orbit 7174 (#25) ................................ 39
4.19 Incomplete manifest (#26) .................................................... 39
4.20 Inaccurate footprint and incomplete metadata (#27) .......... 39
4.21 Incorrect cloud coverage metadata (#29) ............................ 39
4.22 Corrupted metadata (#30) ..................................................... 39
4.23 Missing viewing angles metadata (#32) ................................ 39
4.24 Missing files (#33) ............................................................... 39
4.25 Missing ECMWF auxiliary files (#34) ................................. 40
4.26 Wrong quantification value (#35) ........................................ 40
4.27 Misregistration on bands 9 and 10 (#36) ............................. 40
4.28 “Null” folder (#38) .............................................................. 40
4.29 Incorrect S2A Spectral Response Function (#39) ................... 40
4.30 Incorrect Product Name (#40) .............................................. 41
4.31 Incorrect Instrument Temperature metadata (#41) ............... 41
4.32 Incorrect NODATA mask format (#42) ................................ 41
4.33 Geolocation error due to GPS anomaly (#43) ....................... 41
4.34 Incorrect cloud coverage percentage (#44) .......................... 41
4.35 Pixels with 0 value (#5) ......................................................... 42
4.36 Incorrect equalization (#45) .................................................. 43
4.37 Missing corners (#46) ........................................................... 43
4.38 S2A products processed as S2B (#47) ................................. 43
4.39 Geolocation Error after orbit control manoeuvre (#48) ...... 44
4.40 Misregistration of some S2A products (#49) ....................... 44
4.41 Collision Avoidance Manoeuvre: missing pixels (#50) ....... 44
4.42 Collision Avoidance Manoeuvre: Major geolocation error (#51) 45
4.43 Corrupted footprint (#52) ...................................................... 45
4.44 Missing AUX ECMWF files (#53) ......................................... 45
4.45 Empty AUX ECMWF files (#54) .......................................... 45
4.46 Spurious viewing angle metadata (#64)................................. 45
4.47 Platform mismatch (#67)..................................................... 45
4.48 Geolocation and mis-registration due to incorrect configuration (#68)................................................................. 45
4.49 Products with incorrect checksum (#69)............................. 46
4.50 Zero solar irradiance (#70).................................................. 46
4.51 Products with large misregistration following missing packets (#71).............................................................................. 46
4.52 Corrupted ECMWF auxiliary files (#72)................................. 46

5. PIXELS STATUS 47
5.1 Defective pixels ..................................................................... 47
5.1.1.1 S2A................................................................................ 47
5.1.1.2 S2B................................................................................ 48
5.2 Reset Spike pixels................................................................... 48

6. PRODUCT FEATURES 51
6.1 Spectral Response Non-uniformity ........................................ 51
6.2 Parallax effects....................................................................... 51
6.2.1 Surface reflectance effects................................................... 52
6.2.2 Misregistration of High Altitude Objects............................. 52
6.3 Gradient cross-talk................................................................ 53
6.4 Data-strip overlap.................................................................. 54
6.5 Valid pixels............................................................................ 54
1. Introduction

1.1 Scope of the document

This document provides the data quality status of Copernicus Sentinel-2 mission L1C products.
It documents:
✓ the measured product performance vs. specifications (Section 2),
✓ processing chain improvements associated to each Processing Baseline (Section 3),
✓ an overview on L1C product evolution (Section 3.6),
✓ observed anomalies and known issues (Section 4),
✓ the list of defective pixels (Section 4.50).

Note that a reference article provides an in-depth presentation of Sentinel-2 Calibration and Validation methods and results after one year in operation (F. Gascon et al., “Copernicus Sentinel-2 Calibration and Products Validation Status”, RSE, 2017).
Since May 2018, a Data Quality Report for Level 2A products is also available from the Sentinel-2 Document Library.

1.2 Main points for the Reporting Period

✓ No new point for this month
2. Measured Product Performances

2.1 Performances Overview

The following overview table provides a summary of the Level-1C products data quality performances measured on products in Processing Baselines 02.01 and higher, for a set of key mission requirements. Similar performances are observed for S2A and S2B, except for the geolocation performance which is not yet stabilized for S2B.

Table 2-1: Summary of Sentinel-2 L1C products measured performances for mission key requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Measured performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absolute geolocation</td>
<td>The geo-location uncertainty shall be better than 20 m at 2σ confidence level (unrefined products)</td>
<td>&lt; 11 m at 95.5% confidence (unrefined products)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-temporal registration</td>
<td>The spatial co-registration accuracy of Level 1 c data acquired at different dates over the same geographical area shall be better than or equal to 0.3 SSD at 2 σ confidence level.</td>
<td>&lt; 5 m at 95.5% confidence (refined products)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-spectral registration</td>
<td>The inter-channel spatial co-registration of any two spectral bands shall be better than 0.30 of the coarser achieved spatial sampling distance of these two bands at 3σ confidence level.</td>
<td>&lt; 0.3 pixel at 99.7% confidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute radiometric uncertainty</td>
<td>The absolute radiometric uncertainty shall be better than 5 % (goal 3%). (see Table 2-4 in this document)</td>
<td>B1 to B12, excl. B10: &lt; 3%±2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>The Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) shall be higher than specified values (see Table 2-5 in this document)</td>
<td>All bands compliant with &gt; 27% margin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measured performances are detailed in the following sections.
2.2 Geometric Performance

2.2.1 Geometric Refinement and Global Reference Image (GRI)

Since 30/03/2021 (processing Baseline 03.00) a geometric refinement step is used to improve the multi-temporal geolocation performance. Thanks to this processing, the performance of refined products is notably different from that of unrefined products. In a first step, the geometric refinement has been applied over Europe and Africa (see section 3.6.1 for details). Since 23/08/2021, the geometric refinement is applied globally (except Antarctica).

The geometric refinement relies on the Sentinel-2 Global Reference Image (GRI). The GRI is a set of Level 1B images (in sensor frame) covering the whole globe with highly accurate geolocation information obtained through a spatio-triangulation algorithm using reference Ground Control Points. The images use the reference band (B04) and are mostly (but not entirely) cloud-free. The GRI is an internal database used only for processing and not for dissemination. In particular, the GRI is not meant to be a cloud-free mosaic of the globe. Thanks to the geometric refinement, all refined products inherit the same absolute geolocation performance.

With PB 03.00, a new Digital Elevation Model (DEM), the Copernicus DEM, is used to orthorectify the L1C products. This improves the local geolocation for all products in mountainous areas. This applies also to unrefined products, although the improvement is more effective for refined products.

Preliminary validation results indicate the following performances for the refined products:

- Absolute geolocation: better than 6 m
- Multi-temporal co-registration (same or different satellites), same repeat orbit: better than 5 m at 95% confidence
- Multi-temporal co-registration, different repeat orbits: better than 5 m.

Consolidated performance results will be published in the coming months.

The geometric calibration status reported in the next section 2.2.2 only concerns unrefined products.

2.2.2 Geometric Calibration Status

2.2.2.1 S2A

An improvement of the yaw angle bias correction was performed on May 30th 2016. Before this date, a relatively large along-track bias can be observed between different repeat orbits in the overlap region at the edges of the swath. The multi-temporal co-registration performance reported in this document is computed for products acquired after this date.

The geometric calibration of S2A has been updated on 10/01/2019. After a temporary degradation in June 2021, the geolocation performance of unrefined S2A products is again nominal.
2.2.2.2 S2B

A new geometric calibration for S2B was implemented on 11/12/2020. In the last period, the performance of S2B unrefined product has become worse. A new geometric calibration is being considered to correct this problem. Refined products (PB 03.00 and higher) have reduced geolocation bias compared to non-refined product. In addition, the refinement corrects any biases between S2A and S2B refined products.

2.2.3 Absolute Geolocation

2.2.3.1 Unrefined products

The long-term performance for unrefined products is close to 11 m at 95% for both satellites. Figures below provide the latest performance assessment for unrefined products.

![Figure 1: Geolocation performance for S2A (left) and S2B (right) unrefined products. The median (purple curve) and 95.45% confidence (black curve) performances are computed over a sliding window of 30 days. A vertical line indicates a geometric calibration event. (08/2021).](image)

2.2.3.2 Refined products

Absolute geolocation estimations for refined products show an absolute geolocation error better than 7.1 m for S2A and 5.6 m for S2B (at 95.45% confidence).
Figure 2: Absolute geolocation performance for refined products. Left: S2A, Right: S2B. The median (purple curve) and 95.45% confidence (black curve) performances are computed over a sliding window of 30 days. (10/2021).

2.2.4 Multi-Spectral Registration

The co-registration requirement (< 0.3 pixel at 99.7% confidence) is met for all measured band couples. The performance for S2B has been improved with respect to S2A thanks to a better control of on-board vibrations.

Table 2-2: Multi-Spectral co-registration performance (per band couple and detector number) for S2A (top) and S2B (bottom). Requirement is 0.3 pixel – (11/2020-04/2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S2A</th>
<th>Bsec/Bref</th>
<th>B01</th>
<th>B04</th>
<th>B05</th>
<th>B11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B02</td>
<td>0,128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B03</td>
<td>0,108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B06</td>
<td></td>
<td>0,049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B8A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.5 Multi-Temporal Registration

2.2.5.1 Methodology

The multi-temporal registration error for one tile is estimated as the mean measured error for all control points of the tile. Then the global performance is taken as the 95.5% percentile of the mean shift for all tiles. The performance is measured on the reference band (B04).

2.2.5.2 Unrefined products

The performance assessed for unrefined products is around 12 m. Figure 2 shows the histogram of the distribution of multi-temporal registration errors for S2A and S2B respectively. Table 2-3 presents the observed statistical distribution of the observed multi-temporal registration performance for S2A and S2B products (separately and with respect to each other).

The performance of unrefined products remains the same after deployment of PB 03.00, while it will be improved for refined products.
Figure 3: Histogram of the multi-temporal performance for S2A (left) and S2B (right, different scale). The current performance for S2A and S2B is 12 m mean shift at 95% confidence – 06/2021

Table 2-3: Multi-temporal performance statistics for Sentinel 2 constellation – 03/2020-04/2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Co-registration error</th>
<th>0&lt;X&lt;0.5 pixels</th>
<th>0.5&lt;X&lt;1 pixels</th>
<th>1&lt;X&lt;1.5 pixels</th>
<th>&gt;1.5 pixels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S2A % of products</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B % of products</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2A/S2B % of products*</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.5.3 Refined products

Figure 4 below shows the histograms of the co-registration for pairs of S2A, S2B and S2A/S2B products. The performance for all cases is 0.4 pixels at 95.45%.
2.3 Radiometric Performance

2.3.1 Radiometric Calibration Status

2.3.1.1 Sun-diffuser model improvement

Product baseline 02.06 has been deployed on 23/10/2017. With this new baseline, the Sun-diffuser model used for calibration has been improved to reduce seasonal effects. The update did not result in a discrepancy in the time series of the radiometry (impact on calibration lower than 0.2% at this time of year, see DQR issue 22 for details).

2.3.1.2 S2A

Radiometric calibrations are performed routinely at the beginning of each month. Decontamination operations are scheduled once a year.

A decontamination of the instrument was performed on the 5th and 6th of October 2020. The calibration coefficients will be updated on the 12th of October.

With the calibration of 10/12/2019, a correction of the spectral response function was introduced. This correction consists in removing shorter wavelengths points.
of the B02 response function which were affected by measurement noise. This evolution affects only the spectral response metadata of products and has no impact on the radiometry.

2.3.1.3 **S2B**

Radiometric calibration is performed once per month. The calibration of 12/12/2019 includes an update of the B02 relative spectral response as described for S2A in the previous section.

A decontamination was performed on 16/11/2020. The post-decontamination radiometric calibration was implemented on 20/11/2020.

Starting with processing baseline 04.00, the radiometric gain of S2B visible and NIR channels (B01 to B09 included) will be increased by 1.1% to compensate the observed S2A/S2B bias. This change is expected to improve the radiometric performance of S2B. If needed, users will be able to revert to the original radiometry by dividing S2B L1C reflectances by 1.011. SWIR channels will not be modified.

2.3.2 **Radiometric Uncertainty**

Radiometric validation has been performed using several methods:

- “Rayleigh” method: measurement of the Rayleigh atmospheric backscattering over deep ocean sites.
- Comparison with in-situ data.
- Measurement over well characterized, temporally stable desert areas (Pseudo-Invariant Calibration Sites or PICS).
- Comparison with other sensors such as Landsat-8 OLI (Collection-1 over Libya-4) and cross-comparison S2A vs S2B.

The results are presented in the figures below for S2A and S2B for all methods. Results are provided for all bands except B09 & B10. All results are within the 5% (3%) radiometric accuracy requirement (Goal) respectively.
Figure 5: Comparison of radiometric accuracy for all spectral bands (except B09 and B10): ratio of S2A measurement on reference. Error bars indicate the method uncertainty. 11/2021

Figure 6: Comparison of radiometric accuracy for all spectral bands (except B09 & B10): ratio of S2B measurement on reference. Error bars indicate the method uncertainty. 11/2021

Tabulated results for bands B01 to B12 (B09 & B10 excluded) presented below indicate the effectiveness and reliability of the on-board calibration method for both sensors S2A/MSI and S2B/MSI.
Table 2-4: Best estimate of S2A and S2B calibration gains from validation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Gain Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Gain Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B01</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B02</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B03</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>1.003</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B04</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B05</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B06</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B07</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B08</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B08A</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time series of measurements are also produced to monitor the evolution in time of the radiometric response, in particular to detect a possible degradation of the diffuser. The current assessment is compatible with the specified stability requirement for all visible and NIR bands (< 1% per year).

2.3.3 Noise

The SNR for both S2A and S2B is exceeding requirements (worst-case >160 for band B8A). The table below provides the most recent estimates (April 2020 for S2A and May 2020 for S2B).

Table 2-5: Estimated SNR performance for S2A and S2B at reference radiance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectral Band</th>
<th>B1</th>
<th>B2</th>
<th>B3</th>
<th>B4</th>
<th>B5</th>
<th>B6</th>
<th>B7</th>
<th>B8</th>
<th>B8A</th>
<th>B9</th>
<th>B10</th>
<th>B11</th>
<th>B12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ref. radiance [W/m²/sr/µm]</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2A</td>
<td>1388</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B</td>
<td>1363</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As seen in the figure below, the noise characteristics are very stable over time.

![Figure 7: Evolution of the SNR performance or S2A since 08/06/2016 (left) and S2B since 15/03/2017 (right)]

Another aspect of the image noise is the so-called Fixed Pattern Noise: this is the residual pixel radiometric error after equalization. The performance is better than the specification for all bands except for a few pixels on Bands B11 and B10.

![Figure 8: Fixed Pattern Noise (residual error after equalization) measured on diffuser images for S2A (left) and S2B (right). Blue curve: mean FPN, black: 95 percentile, red: specified value.]

During the month of March 2021, a few SWIR pixels of Sentinel-2B showed an unexpectedly large increase of their relative gain. This concerns the following pixels:

- B10, Detector 2, Pixel 395
- B10, Detector 12, Pixel 402
- B11, Detector 8, Pixel 413
- B11, Detector 8, Pixel 414

This effect has been corrected after the release of the updated radiometric calibration coefficients on 23/03/2021. Before this date, B10 and B11 images may present a few stripes due to the evolution of the relative gains.
The status of these pixels is under investigation and close monitoring in order to confirm that stripes are no longer present after application of the updated equalization coefficients.

### 2.3.4 Modulation Transfer Function

The Modulation Transfer Function (MTF) has been estimated by analysing images with sharp edges for all bands (except B10 for which in-orbit assessment is difficult).

Globally the across track values measured in flight are lower than those expected from ground measurements. The MTF is above the maximum value requirement for B5, B6, B7 and B8A for the across track direction. For the along track direction, the requirement is generally met (marginally in some cases). Note that only the minimum value requirement has a direct impact on image quality. This requirement is satisfied for all bands.

#### Table 2-6: S2A MTF performance assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectral Band</th>
<th>Measured ACT</th>
<th>Measured ALT</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B01</td>
<td>0.34±0.03</td>
<td>0.28±0.03</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B02</td>
<td>0.25±0.06</td>
<td>0.27±0.06</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B03</td>
<td>0.27±0.03</td>
<td>0.28±0.04</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B04</td>
<td>0.25±0.04</td>
<td>0.23±0.03</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B05</td>
<td>0.42±0.03</td>
<td>0.34±0.05</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B06</td>
<td>0.35±0.12</td>
<td>0.33±0.05</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B07</td>
<td>0.35±0.07</td>
<td>0.34±0.03</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B08</td>
<td>0.26±0.11</td>
<td>0.25±0.06</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8A</td>
<td>0.36±0.06</td>
<td>0.31±0.04</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B09</td>
<td>0.25±0.10</td>
<td>0.27±0.03</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>0.20±0.04</td>
<td>0.24±0.04</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>0.24±0.07</td>
<td>0.22±0.06</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2-7: S2B MTF performance assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectral Band</th>
<th>Measured ACT</th>
<th>Measured ALT</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B01</td>
<td>0.35±0.02</td>
<td>0.30±0.02</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B02</td>
<td>0.31±0.13</td>
<td>0.27±0.06</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B03</td>
<td>0.33±0.11</td>
<td>0.23±0.06</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B04</td>
<td>0.31±0.10</td>
<td>0.22±0.05</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B05</td>
<td>0.39±0.03</td>
<td>0.31±0.02</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B06</td>
<td>0.36±0.03</td>
<td>0.29±0.00</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B07</td>
<td>0.36±0.03</td>
<td>0.30±0.01</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B08</td>
<td>0.24±0.06</td>
<td>0.22±0.04</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8A</td>
<td>0.33±0.03</td>
<td>0.29±0.01</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral Band</td>
<td>Measured ACT</td>
<td>Measured ALT</td>
<td>Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B09</td>
<td>0.36±0.02</td>
<td>0.30±0.02</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>0.21±0.02</td>
<td>0.17±0.00</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>0.25±0.01</td>
<td>0.23±0.01</td>
<td>0.15 &lt; MTF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Processing Chain Status

3.1 Product Format

On December 6th 2016, a new naming convention has been introduced (Product Specification Document version 14). The new convention leads to shorter product paths with less redundancy of information. The product name now includes the acquisition date and a “product discriminator” which is related to the acquisition date but can be different in some instances.

A reformatting of the Data Hub product archive to the single-tile, short name format is currently in progress. The JP2000 images are not affected by the reformatting.

Note that the product footprint for all products generated before July 20th 2016 include areas of No Data, while for the later product the footprint outlines valid pixels only.

3.2 Acquisitions with low illumination conditions

Since October 23rd, 2019, the acquisition plan of Sentinel-2B has been extended to include high-latitude areas with low illumination conditions (Sun Zenith Angle up to 85°), https://scihub.copernicus.eu/news/News00610. Users can identify these products through the metadata field: Level-1C_Tile_ID>Geometric_Info > Tile_Angles>Mean_Sun_Angle.

3.3 Off-line products

Beginning 23rd September 2019, Sentinel-2 products older than one year will not be available on-line in the Copernicus data hubs. Instead, they will be retrieved on demand from the Long-Term Archive (LTA). Products retrieved from the LTA will be different from the original ones delivered in Near Real Time production:

✓ The product discriminator (the second date in the product) will be different. However, the zip file provided with the delivery will keep the name of the original product.
✓ The product will be restored using the latest applicable format (currently compact, single tile format, PSD v14.2).
✓ The GENERATION_TIME field in the User Product metadata will also reflect the date of the retrieval from the LTA.

See https://scihub.copernicus.eu/userguide/LongTermArchive for more details.

3.4 Reprocessed products

Two situations can lead to a recovery reprocessing and update of the SciHub archive:

✓ Products affected by major anomalies tracked in the Sentinel-2 anomaly database
✓ Datastrips with missing L1C tiles. In this case the products are not tracked in the anomaly database.
In both cases, the original products are removed and replaced by products with a more recent generation time. However in the latter case the original products can still be considered as valid.
3.5 Status of Processing Baselines and Known Processing Anomalies

The table below summarizes recent evolutions of the processing baseline and the known processing anomalies affecting the production. The dates mentioned in the table refer to the product creation date. Note that anomaly #37, which was duplicating #11, has been deleted.

**Table 3-1: Summary of identified processing anomalies and associated processing baselines. Red: systematic anomaly. Orange: random anomaly affecting only a few products.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomaly ID</th>
<th>Baseline number</th>
<th>Deployment date</th>
<th>Anomaly title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>Instrument Measurement Time metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>02.03</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>Pixels with 0 value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>02.04</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
<td>Missing Physical Gains metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomaly ID</td>
<td>Baseline number</td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>02.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deployment date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomaly title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Wrong footprint on ante-meridiem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Degraded AUX files</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Imprecise technical quality mask</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Geolocation error on orbit 7174</td>
<td>Orbit S2A 7174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Incomplete manifest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Incorrect footprint and missing metadata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Incorrect cloud MTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Corrupted metadata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomaly ID</th>
<th>Baseline number</th>
<th>Deployment date</th>
<th>02.02</th>
<th>02.03</th>
<th>02.04</th>
<th>02.05</th>
<th>02.06</th>
<th>02.07</th>
<th>02.08</th>
<th>02.09 &amp; 03.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anomaly title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Missing viewing angles at antemeridiem</td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td>26/01/2017</td>
<td>27/04/2017</td>
<td>23/10/2017</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>08/06/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Missing files</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td>26/01/2017</td>
<td>23/10/2017</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>08/06/2019</td>
<td>04/02/2020, 30/03/2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Missing ECMWF files</td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td>26/01/2017</td>
<td>27/04/2017</td>
<td>23/10/2017</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>08/06/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Wrong quantification value</td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td>26/01/2017</td>
<td>27/04/2017</td>
<td>23/10/2017</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>08/06/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Misregistration B09 and B10</td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td>26/01/2017</td>
<td>27/04/2017</td>
<td>23/10/2017</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>08/06/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>‘Null’ Folder</td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td>26/01/2017</td>
<td>27/04/2017</td>
<td>23/10/2017</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>08/06/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Incorrect S2A spectral response</td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td>26/01/2017</td>
<td>27/04/2017</td>
<td>23/10/2017</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>08/06/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Incorrect file name</td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td>26/01/2017</td>
<td>27/04/2017</td>
<td>23/10/2017</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>08/06/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomaly ID</td>
<td>Baseline number</td>
<td>Deployment date</td>
<td>Baseline number</td>
<td>Deployment date</td>
<td>Baseline number</td>
<td>Deployment date</td>
<td>Baseline number</td>
<td>Deployment date</td>
<td>Baseline number</td>
<td>Deployment date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Incorrect instrument temperature MTD</td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>02.04</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>02.06</td>
<td>02.07</td>
<td>02.08</td>
<td>02.09 &amp; 03.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Incorrect NODATA mask format</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>02.04</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>02.06</td>
<td>02.07</td>
<td>02.08</td>
<td>02.09 &amp; 03.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Incorrect Cloud Cover percentage</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>02.04</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>02.06</td>
<td>02.07</td>
<td>02.08</td>
<td>02.09 &amp; 03.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Incorrect equalization</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>02.04</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>02.06</td>
<td>02.07</td>
<td>02.08</td>
<td>02.09 &amp; 03.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Missing Corners</td>
<td>26/01/2017</td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>02.04</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>02.06</td>
<td>02.07</td>
<td>02.08</td>
<td>02.09 &amp; 03.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>S2A product processed as S2B</td>
<td>27/04/2017</td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>02.04</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>02.06</td>
<td>02.07</td>
<td>02.08</td>
<td>02.09 &amp; 03.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Misregistration of some S2A products</td>
<td>23/10/2017</td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>02.04</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>02.06</td>
<td>02.07</td>
<td>02.08</td>
<td>02.09 &amp; 03.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Corrupted product footprint</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>02.04</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>02.06</td>
<td>02.07</td>
<td>02.08</td>
<td>02.09 &amp; 03.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Anomaly titles:**
- Incorrect instrument temperature MTD
- Incorrect NODATA mask format
- Incorrect Cloud Cover percentage
- Incorrect equalization
- Missing Corners
- S2A product processed as S2B
- Misregistration of some S2A products
- Corrupted product footprint

**Deployment dates:**
- 03/05/2016
- 09/06/2016
- 15/06/2016
- 03/08/2016
- 26/01/2017
- 27/04/2017
- 23/10/2017
- 06/11/2018
- 08/06/2019
- 04/02/2020, 30/03/2021

**Reference:** S2-PDGS-MPC-DQR

**Issue:** 70

**Date:** 07/12/2021
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomaly ID</th>
<th>Baseline number</th>
<th>Deployment date</th>
<th>Baseline number</th>
<th>Deployment date</th>
<th>Baseline number</th>
<th>Deployment date</th>
<th>Baseline number</th>
<th>Deployment date</th>
<th>Baseline number</th>
<th>Deployment date</th>
<th>Baseline number</th>
<th>Deployment date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02.02</td>
<td>03/05/2016</td>
<td>02.03</td>
<td>09/06/2016</td>
<td>02.04</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>03/08/2016</td>
<td>02.06</td>
<td>26/01/2017</td>
<td>02.07</td>
<td>27/04/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Missing AUX files</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Empty AUX files</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Spurious viewing angle metadata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Platform mismatch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.6 Processing Baseline Status

3.6.1 Processing baseline 03.01

The processing baseline 03.01 was introduced on 30/06/2021. The evolution concerns only the product checksum algorithm which was changed from md5 to SHA3.

3.6.2 Processing baseline 04.00


Regarding Level-1C products, the following evolutions will be included:

1. Correction of the radiometric bias between Sentinel-2A and Sentinel-2B (keeping Sentinel-2A as reference): Sentinel-2A and Sentinel-2B radiometric cross-calibration results have highlighted a small bias for VNIR bands B01 to B09. This radiometric difference will be mitigated by applying a radiometric bias correction of 1.1 % to Sentinel-2B VNIR bands B01 to B09.

2. Generation of the Level-1C quality masks in raster format: Instead of the current GML format, the Level-1 quality masks will be provided in multi-band single bit raster format (coded in JPEG2000). The masks will be grouped in 3 categories as defined as follow:
   - MSK_CLASSI: A band-independent raster file composed of the classification masks (layer 1: cloud, 2: cirrus, 3: snow). This mask will be defined at a spatial resolution of 60 m.
Figure 9: CLASSI mask – layer 1 (blue) cloud mask and layer 3 (pink) snow mask.

- MSK_QUALIT: For each spectral band, a raster file composed of all the radiometric and technical quality masks (layer 1: lost ancillary packets, 2: degraded ancillary packets, 3: lost MSI packets, 4: degraded MSI packets, 5: defective pixels, 6: no data, 7: partially corrected cross-talk, 8: saturated pixels). For each spectral band, the mask will be defined at the same spatial resolution as that of the spectral band.
Figure 10: B10 image and associated QUALIT mask layer 8 (saturation).

- MSK_DETFOO: separately, for each spectral band, a 1-byte raster file using 4 bits to encode the 12 detector footprints. For each spectral band, the mask will be defined at the same spatial resolution as that of the spectral band.

3. Addition of the following ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) auxiliary parameters: u/v wind components (10u and 10v) and relative humidity (r). The format of the AUX_ECMWF file will remain unchanged.

4. Addition in the product of the following CAMS (Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service) auxiliary parameters: Total Aerosol Optical Depth at 550nm (aod550), Surface Geopotential (z), Black Carbon Aerosol Optical Depth at 550nm (bcaod550), Dust Aerosol Optical Depth at 550nm (duaod550), Organic Matter Aerosol Optical Depth at 550nm (omaod550), Sea Salt Aerosol Optical Depth at 550nm (ssao550), Sulphate Aerosol Optical Depth at 550nm (suaod550), Total Aerosol Optical Depth at 469nm (aod469), Total Aerosol Optical Depth at 670nm (aod670), Total Aerosol Optical Depth at 865nm (aod865) and Total Aerosol Optical Depth at 1240nm (aod1240). The AUX_DATA folder embedded in the Level-1C products will contain the CAMS forecast data for that specific tile in a single dedicated GRIB file named as AUX_CAMSFO.

5. Introduction of a radiometric offset on reflectances digital numbers: The dynamic range will be shifted by a band-dependent constant, i.e. RADIO_ADD_OFFSET. From the user’s point of view, the L1C Top of
Atmosphere (TOA) reflectance (L1C_TOA) shall be retrieved from the output radiometry as follows:

- Digital Number DN=0 will remain the “NO_DATA” value
- For a given DN in \([1;2^{15}-1]\), the L1C TOA reflectance value will be:
  \[
  L1C_{\text{TOAi}} = \frac{L1C_{\text{DNi}} + \text{RADIO\_ADD\_OFFSETi}}{\text{QUANTIFICATION\_VALUEi}}
  \]
- The offset will be reported in a new field in the General_Info/Product_Image_Characteristics section of the Datastrip and User Product Metadata. The offset will be set initially at -1000 digital counts for all spectral bands. This evolution allows avoiding the loss of information due to clamping of negative values in the predefined range \([1-32767]\) that may occur over very dark surfaces.

6. Addition of the DOI (Digital Object Identifier) in the Level-1C metadata: A DOI is a string of numbers, letters and symbols used to permanently identify a document and/or a dataset and to create a link to it on the web. The DOI url will be reported in a new field in the General_Info section of the Datastrip and User Product Metadata.
4. Product Anomalies

4.1 Introduction

This section describes all known product anomalies. Each anomaly is tagged with a code “#N” allowing linking it to a given Processing Baseline through Table 3-1. The table below provides the status of anomalies which are not related to processing and can therefore not be corrected through reprocessing. It complements Table 3-1 above. Anomalies affecting obsolete products (baseline 02.00) are no longer described in this report.

The complete list of anomalies is available on-line in the Sentinel-2 anomaly database https://sentinels.copernicus.eu/web/sentinel/user-guides/sentinel-2-msi/sentinel-2-anomalies/.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomaly ID</th>
<th>Anomaly title</th>
<th>Criticality</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Affected products</th>
<th>Product status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Stripping of SWIR bands</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>S2A</td>
<td>A few orbits, not systematic</td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Stripping of Visible bands</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>S2A</td>
<td>A few orbits, not systematic</td>
<td>Removed from archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>B10 saturation</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>S2A</td>
<td>Products with high reflectances</td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Geolocation error</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>S2A</td>
<td>Orbits 3218, 4080 and 4081</td>
<td>Removed from archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Misaligned detectors on band 1</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>S2A</td>
<td>A few orbits impacted (beginning of the datastrip)</td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Geolocation Error</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>S2A</td>
<td>Orbits 6003 to 6011 Orbits 16381 to 16392</td>
<td>Removed from archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Acquisition with shutter closed</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>S2A</td>
<td>Orbit 1037</td>
<td>To be removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Geolocation error</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>S2A</td>
<td>Orbits 1296 to 1304</td>
<td>Removed from archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Geolocation error following orbit control manoeuvre</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>S2AB</td>
<td>Orbit 8366</td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomaly ID</td>
<td>Anomaly title</td>
<td>Criticality</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Affected products</td>
<td>Product status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>CAM – missing pixels</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>S2B</td>
<td>Orbits 10439-10440</td>
<td>Not disseminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>CAM – major geolocation error</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>S2A</td>
<td>Orbit 19751</td>
<td>Removed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.2 Instrument Measurement Time metadata (#4)

Within the satellite ancillary metadata, the value of Instrument Measurement Time (IMT) is not represented correctly due to a formatting error. This anomaly is corrected with product baseline 02.05.

### 4.3 Missing Physical Gains metadata (#7)

Band 12 is missing in the “physical gains” metadata of the user product. However the full list of physical gains is present in the metadata at granule level. This error was corrected early August 2016 and recent products are not anymore affected.

### 4.4 Striping of SWIR Bands (#9)

This anomaly is characterized by along-track stripes on some detectors of SWIR band images (see image below). Other detectors are also misaligned (along-track shift).

![Figure 11: Striping of SWIR bands (anomaly #9). Top: B11, Bottom, B12.](image)

This anomaly occurred during commissioning as a result of an incorrect instrument configuration. Users are advised to use only VISNIR bands for the corresponding orbits.
### 4.5 Striping due to lost source packets (#10)

Data downlink issue sometimes lead to missing instrument source packets. This results in missing or corrupted pixels in L1C image, typically affecting only odd or even detectors and some spectral bands. The figure below presents an example of product affected by missing packets.

**Figure 12: L1C product affected by a large number of missing packets. This type of feature is not considered as an anomaly and will not lead to removal of affected products.**

Under the current quality control policy, this effect is not considered as an anomaly. Products affected by missing packets will remain in the archive. This type of behaviour is expected and traced in the product:

- a technical quality check is performed at datastrip level and reported in the End User product metadata in case of failure;
- the number of missing packets is reported in the datastrip metadata;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orbit</th>
<th>Orbit</th>
<th>Orbit</th>
<th>Orbit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1118</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>1404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1143</td>
<td>1218</td>
<td>1308</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1146</td>
<td>1227</td>
<td>1314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1151</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>1319</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1156</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1159</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>1329</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>1337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1175</td>
<td>1256</td>
<td>1342</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1186</td>
<td>1261</td>
<td>1343</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1272</td>
<td>1348</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1274</td>
<td>1391</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1298</td>
<td>1394</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
✓ the affected area is described in the technical quality masks (TECQUA gml files).

4.6 Missing viewing angles (#11)

This anomaly affected a few products of baseline 02.01: the viewing angles (part of the granule Metadata) are missing for some spectral bands. It has been corrected on 31/03/2016.

4.7 Anomalous Pixels (#12)

This anomaly is characterized by anomalous pixel values at the boundary of a datastrip. This anomaly has been corrected with baseline 02.02.

![Image of anomalous pixels](image)

Figure 13: Anomalous pixels on band B4 (anomaly #12).

4.8 Saturation noise on Band 10 Images (#13)

This feature is characterized by noise patterns on bright images. It has now been identified as generated by saturation of the detector. This effect is not an anomaly in itself, however the saturation is currently not correctly reported in the image quality masks. A modification of the processor is in progress to solve this issue.
4.9 Geolocation and Co-registration Error (#14)

A major anomaly has led to a strong and temporary geolocation and spectral registration errors. The anomaly occurred on February 3rd (orbit 3218) and April 3rd, 2016 (orbits 4080, 4081 and 4082). This anomaly has been correctly identified by the automatic on-line quality control and the degraded geometric performance is reported in the product metadata (geometric quality check status is “FAILED”). After identification of the anomaly, the defective products have been removed from the public archive.

The root cause of this anomaly has been identified. Missing data from attitude control telemetry is at the origin of the anomaly. An optimization of the management of the on-board telemetry has been implemented since and should avoid any re-occurrence.

Figure 14: Along-track noise pattern on B10 images over bright clouds (#13).

Figure 15: Spectral co-registration error (anomaly #14).
4.10 Strong Misregistration (#15)

Processing Baseline 02.03 deployed on 09/06/2016 was affected by an anomaly due to an incorrect configuration of the processing centres. This anomaly results in a strong spectral misregistration. This issue was rapidly identified, defective products have been removed from the archive and subsequently reprocessed with baseline 02.02. After correction of the configuration error, baseline 02.04 was deployed on 15/06/2016.

4.11 Stretching of 60 m Bands (#16)

This anomaly is characterized by an incorrect appearance of the 60 m bands: images are stretched across-track and discontinuities are visible between detector boundaries. A few occurrences have been observed, and none since 27/04/2016.

![Stretching of 60 m bands](image)

Figure 16: Stretching of 60 m bands (anomaly #16).

4.12 Misaligned detectors on band 1 (#17)

An anomaly on the receiving ground station occurred on 12th of July 2016 and led to corrupted products for a few orbits (5509 to 5525). The anomaly affects only band 1 and is limited to the first products of the datastrips (Northern part). It is characterized by a misalignment of the odd and even detectors, as illustrated in the figure below.
A possible correction of this anomaly by an ad-hoc reprocessing is under study.

### 4.13 Geolocation Error (#18)

This anomaly occurred while the satellite was performing a collision avoidance manoeuvre on 16th August 2016. One Star Tracker was temporarily blinded by the Sun, which led to a degradation of the attitude estimation. As a result, the geolocation of the products acquired during this period (orbits 6003 to 6011) is affected by a variable geolocation error of up to 100 meters.

Another occurrence of the same anomaly was detected on 12/08/2018 and affects S2A orbits 16 381 to 16 392.

The anomaly is related to the handling of the redundant Star Tracker in the attitude estimation system. A realignment of the Star Trackers has been performed on 20/05/2019 to prevent any new occurrence.

### 4.14 Product footprint on the ante-meridiem (#19)

With the introduction of single tile products in October 2016, an issue has been identified in the product footprint for tiles crossing the ante-meridiem (180°
longitude). The footprint should be composed of two polygons (above -180° and below +180°). Instead, only the second polygon is present. This anomaly has been fixed on 26/01/2017. All points are now present but in a single polygon.

4.15 Degraded AUX files (#20)

This anomaly affects the ECMWF auxiliary files, for some specific tiles. The files are truncated and contain aberrant values. This anomaly is fixed with production baseline 02.05.

4.16 Acquisition with shutter closed (#23)

On 03/09/2015 S2A orbit 1037 has been acquired with the shutter closed in order to verify the instrument straylight performance. The product was released by mistake to the public archive. The images are almost completely dark (< 5 digital counts). This orbit will be removed from the archive shortly.

4.17 Imprecise technical quality mask (#24)

Since October 2016, technical quality masks (TECQUA) are reporting instance of lost data packets (see anomaly #10). However it has been found that the masks are not perfectly accurate (see figure below). This anomaly is essentially corrected with production baseline 02.05. Some residual errors have been observed, which led to a further improvement deployed the 07/06/2018. A final fix has been implemented with PB 03.00 (30/03/2021).

![Figure 19: Technical Quality masks (green: lost packets, red: degraded packets) overlayed over an affected image. A small gap exists between the mask and the affected area (anomaly #24).](image-url)
4.18 Geolocation error on orbit 7174 (#25)

A geolocation error of more than 100 m has been observed on the first datastrip of orbit 7174 (acquired 05/11/2016). A reprocessing is planned for this datastrip to correct this anomaly.

4.19 Incomplete manifest (#26)

In products generated before 18/05/2017, the meteorology Auxiliary files are missing from the file listing in the manifest.safe.

4.20 Inaccurate footprint and incomplete metadata (#27)

This anomaly occurred on January 20th 2017 following a change in the user product generation chain, and was solved on January 26th 2017. The anomaly affected the diffusion of products on the SciHub, and as a result few products affected by this anomaly have been disseminated. The characteristics of this anomaly are:

- Coarse precision of product footprint (1/3°)
- Missing Datastrip Identifier and granule Identifier attributes.

4.21 Incorrect cloud coverage metadata (#29)

Two products have been found affected by this anomaly. The products have very small data coverage and are completely cloudy. The cloud mask is accurate but the cloud coverage metadata is reported as zero. The affected products are 30UXB on 11/02/2017 and 50KQL on 12/04/2017. The issue has been fixed the 07/06/2018.

4.22 Corrupted metadata (#30)

The product for tile 50SQA generated on 20/03/2017 has several metadata with an incorrect "0" value (quantification value, spectral irradiances). No other product has been found with this anomaly so far.

4.23 Missing viewing angles metadata (#32)

Viewing angles metadata (part of L1C granule metadata) are systematically missing for tiles of UTM zone 01 crossing the ante-meridiem. This anomaly is fixed with baseline 02.06.

4.24 Missing files (#33)

Some recent products have been found with one or several files missing (spectral bands or metadata files). This anomaly is now corrected for real time processing and the archive is progressively cleaned.
4.25 Missing ECMWF auxiliary files (#34)

In some products from baseline 02.06, the meteorology auxiliary files (ECMWF data) are missing in the products. The issue has been solved in February 2018.

4.26 Wrong quantification value (#35)

An incorrect calibration file has been deployed by error for S2A on 12/06/2017 and affected orbits 10724 to 10729. The quantification value is 1,000 instead of 10,000. The affected products have been reprocessed and the archive will be updated. A new occurrence was found on orbits 634, 704 and 705. The remediation is in progress.

4.27 Misregistration on bands 9 and 10 (#36)

On 25/09/2017, an anomaly at the reception station led to a severe loss of instrument source packets. As a side effect, a misregistration of bands B09 and B10 has been observed downstream of the area affected by missing packets. In view of this strong degradation, the affected orbit (S2A orbit 11 799) will be removed from the archive.

4.28 “Null” folder (#38)

A few products of baseline 02.04 have been generated with an additional empty Granule folder with a name ending with “null”. As a side effect, this generates a failure with Sen2cor. This very minor anomaly can be corrected by deleting the empty folder.

4.29 Incorrect S2A Spectral Response Function (#39)

The spectral response functions provided in the metadata of the S2A products are affected by errors affecting mostly bands B01, B02 and B08. The anomaly has been corrected on January 15th 2018 (cf. https://cophub.copernicus.eu/news/News00138).

Note that this issue has negligible impact on the radiometry of the L1C reflectance products. On the other hand the conversion to radiance values and the computation of downstream products relying on the spectral response function can be impacted (such as L2A products).
4.30 Incorrect Product Name (#40)

A few S2B products have been found with an additional number (0 or 1) at the end of the product discriminator field. This minor anomaly has been understood and correction actions are in progress to prevent re-occurrence. In the meantime the products will be removed and replaced with new ones with the correct naming.

4.31 Incorrect Instrument Temperature metadata (#41)

This anomaly affects the Instrument temperature data reported in the “expertise” section of the Datastrip metadata. The temperatures are not converted to degrees Celsius as they should be. In addition the GPS time is not correctly reported. This minor anomaly affects all products of baseline 02.06 and earlier. Remediation is in progress.

4.32 Incorrect NODATA mask format (#42)

A few products from baseline 02.06 have been generated which use a comma ‘,’ instead of a decimal point ‘.’ in the description of the mask polygon (NODATA and DEFECT masks). This minor error is due to an incorrect language setting which has been corrected. It does not prevent the handling of the products by such tools as SNAP, QGIS or Sen2cor. The issue has been finally solved on 24/05/2018.

4.33 Geolocation error due to GPS anomaly (#43)

Due to an anomaly on the GPS receiver of S2A in September 2015, orbits 1296 to 1304 (inclusive) were affected with a large geolocation error (up to 1000 m). Affected products have been removed from the archive.

4.34 Incorrect cloud coverage percentage (#44)

This anomaly affects the “Cloud_Coverage_Assessment” parameter reported in the user product metadata as well as the “CLOUDY PIXEL_PERCENTAGE” of the
tile metadata for products with a partial acquisition. The percentage is not correctly computed and can lead to over- or under-estimation of the percentage. Since the Sentinel Data Hub uses this metadata to record catalogue entries, requests using filtering on cloud percentage can be affected. On the other hand the cloud mask itself is correct.

Figure 21: Tile 40UGE acquired on 12/06/2018 by S2B. Left: RGB composite, Right: cloud mask (in red). The cloud coverage percentage is incorrectly reported as 19.3% (anomaly #44).

Anomaly #44 is corrected with baseline 02.07.

4.35 Pixels with 0 value (#5)

Until product baseline 02.01, several products had valid pixels with a reflectance value of 0 (No Data) instead of 1 (minimal reflectance). This anomaly was essentially fixed with baseline 02.01. However errors induced by compression noise can still be found on very dark areas (e.g. over topographic shadows or water on SWIR bands).

Figure 22: Pixels with 0 value (No Data) shown here as transparent, in a dark area of a B12 image (Anomaly #5).
4.36 Incorrect equalization (#45)

Since 18/07/2018, equalization issues have been observed on S2A products. The issue is especially visible on Band 10 if the contrast is enhanced. This issue also affects the cirrus cloud mask which may exhibit discrepancies between detectors. Note that the impact on the radiometry is limited to a few percent. The anomaly has been corrected on 30/07/2018.

![Figure 23: Incorrect equalization of B10 for S2A (anomaly #45).](image)

4.37 Missing corners (#46)

Since 22/06/2018 an anomaly affects all L1C products. A triangular area of 50 to 100 pixels is systematically missing on the top-right and bottom-left corners of each tile. The affected area can be larger at high latitudes. These pixels are flagged by the “No-Data” mask so no impact on downstream processing is expected.

![Figure 24: Top-right corner of the image of the same L1C tile from orbit 7053 (unaffected, left) and orbit 7196 (affected, right). (Anomaly #46)](image)

This anomaly has been fixed on 08/09/2018. Affected products are still present on the archive.

4.38 S2A products processed as S2B (#47)

On 25/09/2018, 132 products from S2A orbit 17022 have been incorrectly processed as S2B products. This induces large discrepancies on radiometric and geometric performances. This anomaly was corrected on 02/10/2018 (affected products removed and reprocessed as S2A products).
4.39 Geolocation Error after orbit control manoeuvre (#48)

On 12/10/2018 (orbit 8366) a geolocation error of up to 40 m has been observed. This error is caused by a collision avoidance manoeuvre performed during observation time. The products are available from the archive but should be used with caution. The manoeuvre planning procedures have been updated in order to avoid a repetition of this anomaly.

4.40 Misregistration of some S2A products (#49)

This anomaly occurred during 05/02/2019 and 12/02/2019. Some S2A products were affected by a variable spectral misregistration (up to 2 pixels). This anomaly has been fixed and the affected products have been reprocessed.

Figure 25: Spectral misregistration (anomaly #49). Affected S2A products (between 04/02/2019 and 14/02/2019) have been removed from the archive and reprocessed.

4.41 Collision Avoidance Manoeuvre: missing pixels (#50)

On 07/03/2019 S2B performed an emergency collision avoidance manoeuvre which required a slew performed during acquisition. Several orbits were lost while products from orbit 10439 and 10440 were affected by missing data at the interface between detectors. Other occurrences:

- 31/01/2020: S2B orbit 15170
- 02/05/2021: S2B orbit 21702
- 06/27/2021: S2A orbit 31409

Affected products have been removed from the archive.
4.42 Collision Avoidance Manoeuvre: Major geolocation error (#51)

Products acquired shortly after a collision avoidance manoeuvre (S2A orbit 19751 on 04/04/2019) are affected with a geolocation error larger than 50 m. Products have been removed from the archive.

4.43 Corrupted footprint (#52)

The product footprint is reduced to a very small triangle. This anomaly occurs randomly with a very low rate. This anomaly is fixed with processing baseline 02.09.

4.44 Missing AUX ECMWF files (#53)

This anomaly is similar to #34 affecting some products of the archive. This new occurrence affected products from S2A orbit 20681, as well as S2B orbits 11772 and 11773. Affected products have been deleted from the archive and reprocessed on 01/07/2019.

4.45 Empty AUX ECMWF files (#54)

This anomaly concerned orbits S2A 20892 and S2B 11983 and 11984, which were produced with an empty ECMWF file. Products have been deleted and reprocessed on 07/07/2019.

4.46 Spurious viewing angle metadata (#64)

In some cases, the L1C user product metadata MSIL1C_MTD.xml contain viewing angle information for detectors which are not present in the product. This minor anomaly is corrected with processing baseline 02.09.

4.47 Platform mismatch (#67)

On 13/05/2020 and 14/05/2020, a few S2A products were processed as S2B. As a result, the radiometric and geometric performance of the products were severely degraded. This anomaly affected products from sensing orbit S2A 25511. Affected products have been removed from the archive and replaced with correct products on 26/05/2020.

4.48 Geolocation and mis-registration due to incorrect configuration (#68)

This anomaly affects S2A products from orbit 30458 to 30461 generated on the 22/04/2021. The products have a geolocation error of up to 30 m and spectral mis-registration. The anomaly was due to an incorrect processing configuration. The affected products were reprocessed on 25/04/2021 and all affected products have been removed on 04/05/2021.
4.49 Products with incorrect checksum (#69)

On 21/05/2021, around 600 L1C products were processed with an SHA-256 checksum instead of the expected md5 for products of PB 03.00. The product quality is otherwise nominal. The recovery of this anomaly is in progress.

4.50 Zero solar irradiance (#70)

On 02/09/2021, one S2A datastrip from orbit 32364 (over East Africa) was incorrectly processed which led to an incorrect value of 0 for the solar irradiance metadata. An example of affected product is:

- S2A_MSIL1C_20210902T072621_N0301_R049_T37NGJ_20210902T100010

The affected products have been removed from the archive.

4.51 Products with large misregistration following missing packets (#71)

This anomaly concerns datastrip for which data packets have been lost. The processed products are affected by large geolocation errors and possibly spectral co-registration errors. Two occurrences were detected:

- S2A orbit 31188, datastrip over Borneo (12/06/2021)
- S2A orbit 32722, datastrip over the Middle East (27/09/2021)

Products from the first occurrence have been removed (on 13/07/2021) but not yet reprocessed. For the second one the recovery was completed on 01/10/2021.

4.52 Corrupted ECMWF auxiliary files (#72)

This issue affects random products from Processing Baselines 03.00 and 03.01. The auxiliary ECMWF contain erroneous values. A correction for this anomaly has been implemented and will be deployed in the coming period.
5. Pixels Status

5.1 Defective pixels

5.1.1.1 S2A

In the following tables are listed all the identified defective pixels which are currently replaced by an interpolation of neighbouring pixels.

On 04/06/2018, a SWIR reselection operation has been performed. This operation has allowed reverting some defective status to nominal as indicated in the table below.

On 20/04/2020, a new potentially defective pixel has been identified on B11. This was not confirmed by subsequent observations, so the pixel is still considered healthy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Pixel number (from 0)</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Last updated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1104</td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>18/07/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>Defective</td>
<td>23/06/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1174</td>
<td>Defective</td>
<td>23/06/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>Monitored</td>
<td>20/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>Defective</td>
<td>26/08/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>18/07/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>18/07/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the defective pixels listed above, a group of pixels (570 to 600) of Band 11 D11 are affected by a non-linear radiometric response. This effect generates a darker along-track area in dark B11 images (e.g. on snow, see figure below).
Figure 26: Along-track stripe on B11 image due to a non-linear response on D11 (contrast strongly enhanced).

5.1.1.2 S2B

Table 5-2: Defective pixels on S2B band 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Pixel number (from 0)</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Last updated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>Defective</td>
<td>30/05/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>D11</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>Defective</td>
<td>30/06/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Reset Spike pixels

During the MSI design phase, it has been identified that a few pixels of the 10 m bands are affected by an electronic cross-talk during detector read-out. This results in errors which can reach a few digital counts, depending on the observed scene.

The following tables provide the list of affected pixels.

Table 5-3: S2A Pixel affected by reset spike noise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>pixel number</th>
<th>Current status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odd detector number</td>
<td>Even detector number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B02, B03, B04</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>489</td>
<td>2102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5-4: S2B Pixel affected by reset spike noise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Pixel number</th>
<th>Odd detector number</th>
<th>Even detector number</th>
<th>Current status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>618</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>619</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>715</td>
<td>1876</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>895</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1539</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1669</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3,B4</td>
<td></td>
<td>187</td>
<td>2404</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>619</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>715</td>
<td>1876</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>895</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1539</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Odd detector number</td>
<td>Even detector number</td>
<td>Current status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1669</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>1243</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2504</td>
<td>Pixel Reset Noise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Product Features

6.1 Spectral Response Non-uniformity

In this section we report on a known feature of Sentinel 2 products created by the spectral response non-uniformity. This feature has been anticipated since the design phase and is compliant with mission specification. This feature is characterized by along-track soft-edged darker or brighter stripes near the detector boundaries, as shown on the figure below. Indeed, the spectral response is slightly different at the edges of the detectors, especially for bands B03 and B05. When the spectrum of the scene has strong gradient over the spectral bandwidth of the detector, a difference in the measured radiometry can be observed (up to 2% in worst-cases).

![Along-track stripes resulting from spectral response non-uniformity (band B03).](image)

6.2 Parallax effects

In this section we report on parallax effects created by the staggered configuration of the focal plane. Indeed the instrument swath is covered by 12 individual detectors assembled in a staggered manner. Because of this configuration, odd and even detectors do not see the ground under the same viewing angles. This can create visible effects on some images, as detailed in the next subsections.
6.2.1 Surface reflectance effects

Because the viewing angles are not the same for even and odd detectors, differences in measured radiometry can be observed on non-Lambertian surfaces. This is especially visible on Sun glint over sea surfaces (see Figure below).

![Stripe pattern over sea surface, due to the observation parallax effect between odd and even detectors.](image)

6.2.2 Misregistration of High Altitude Objects

The processing algorithm ensures the co-registration of images acquired by all spectral bands and the detectors for features at ground level. Objects at a higher altitude like planes and clouds cannot be properly co-registered. As already reported in the first issue of the Data Quality Report, this effect leads to spectral misregistration ("rainbow" effect) and discontinuities between detectors. Both effects can be seen in Figure 29 hereafter.
6.3 Gradient cross-talk

This feature can be seen on contrasted images on band B12 (typically near the coast). It can be explained by a cross-talk signal coming from the along-track gradient of the B11 image. The typical amplitude of the effect is 10 digital counts.
6.4 Data-strip overlap

Sentinel-2 products are generated by a network of several ground stations around the globe. Data acquired by the satellites are split into processing units called “data-strips” which are processed independently, and subsequently transferred to the Sentinel Data Hub. A given continuous acquisition sequence (or “data-take”) can be split into several data-strips. In that case, two different products are generated for level 1C tiles located at the interface between the data-strips. The two products can be merged to reconstruct the full image. However, one should be aware that the geometric refinement (see section 2.2.1) may introduce a small shift (a few meters) between the two tiles. This shift is not visible to the naked eye but can be measured by computing the co-registration between the products on their overlap area. This shift is zero if both products are unrefined, as in the figure below.

![Figure 31](image)

**Figure 31**: Example of a pair of products at the overlap between two data-strips. a: product from the first data-strip, processed at Svalbard (SGS) b: product from the second data-strip, processed at Matera (MTI). c: the two products overlap seamlessly to reconstruct the complete acquisition. d: close-up near the transition line.

6.5 Valid pixels

Users are advised that the pixel validity status may be different for different spectral bands: it is possible to have one band with valid data and one band with No Data (0) at the same location. This happens in particular at the western and eastern edges of the swath. Any multi-spectral processing should be done only on pixels having valid data for all spectral bands.
End of document