





S5P Mission Performance Centre CLOUD [L2__CLOUD_] Readme



document number	S5P-MPC-DLR-PRF-CLOUD	
issue	1.0.0	
date	2018-07-09	
product version	V 01.00.00 and 01.01.00	
status	Released	
Prepared by	A. Argyrouli (DLR) M. Sneep (KNMI) J.-C. Lambert (BIRA-IASB)	MPC Product Lead MPC Validation Coordinator MPC ESL-VAL Lead
Reviewed by	J.-C. Lambert (BIRA-IASB), D. Loyola (DLR), J. P. Veefkind (KNMI), A. Dehn (ESA)	MPC ESL-VAL Lead MPC ESL-L2 Lead MPC Technical Manager MPC Technical Officer
Approved by	A. Dehn (ESA), C. Zehner (ESA)	ESA Data Quality Manager ESA Mission Manager

MPC Contributors	F. Romahn (DLR) R. Lutz (DLR) P. Wang (KNMI) W. Zimmer (DLR) S. Compernelle (BIRA-IASB)	MPC ESL-L2 Processor Lead MPC ESL-L2 Product Contributor MPC ESL-L2 Product Contributor MPC ESL-L2 Processor Contributor MPC ESL-VAL Contributor
S5PVT ¹ Contributors	Contributions will be added in the future	
Signatures	<div style="text-align: center;"> <p>X</p> <hr/> <p>MPC Product Lead / PRF Lead Editor</p> <p>X</p> <hr/> <p>A. Dehn (ESA) Data Quality Manager</p>  <p>C. Zehner (ESA) – Mission Manager</p> </div>	

¹ The S5PVT AO project summaries can be found at <https://earth.esa.int/web/guest/pi-community/search-results-and-projects/mission>

1 Summary

This is the Product Readme File (PRF) of the Sentinel 5 Precursor Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument (S5P/TROPOMI) Cloud Level 2 data product and is applicable for both the Near Real Time (NRTI) and Offline (OFFL) timeliness data products.

Product Identifier: **L2__CLOUD_**

Example filename:

S5P_NRTI_L2__CLOUD__20180704T085914_20180704T090414_03746_01_010000_20180704T094813.nc

This OFFL product has the following doi: <http://doi.org/10.5270/S5P-ry8kaa5>

The Readme file describes the current processing baseline, product and quality limitations, and product availability status. More information on this data product is available from the Sentinel product webpage:

<https://sentinels.copernicus.eu/web/sentinel/technical-guides/sentinel-5p/products-algorithms>,

and from the TROPOMI product webpage <http://www.tropomi.eu/data-products>.

Note that the cloud parameters are given for two different cloud models:

- (a) CAL (clouds-as-layers) [cloud_fraction, cloud_top_height, cloud_optical_thickness] and
- (b) CRB (clouds-as-reflecting-boundaries) [cloud_fraction_crb, cloud_height_crb, cloud_albedo_crb].

Note that the cloud fraction in the Cloud Level 2 product is the radiometric cloud fraction (RCF).

As a user guideline for the data quality a qa_value is given with the data. In order to avoid misinterpretation of the data quality, it is recommended at the current stage to only use those pixels with a qa_value above 0.5.

Validation by MPC Cal/Val experts concludes that version 1.0.0 of the Cloud data is in good overall agreement with (i) reference measurements collected from global ground-based networks, and (ii) the corresponding satellite data products from VIIRS & MODIS, and (iii) is compliant with the requirements as defined in Table 1.

The data product requirements are listed in the S5P Calibration and Validation Plan [RD01]

Parameter	Data product	Bias	Random
Radiometric Cloud Fraction	NRTI and OFFL	20%	0.05
Optical Thickness (Albedo)	NRTI and OFFL	20%	10 (0.05)
Cloud Height (Pressure)	NRTI and OFFL	20%	0.5 km (30 hPa)

Table 1: Cloud product requirements

2 Processing baseline description

Table 2 contains the history of the CLOUD processor versions.

Processor Version	In operation from	In operation until
01.00.00	NRTI: orbit 3745, 2018-07-04	Initial version
01.00.00	OFFL: orbit 3661, 2018-06-28	Initial version
01.01.00	NRTI	Note: An updated version is anticipated to be activated at the end of July 2018. This processor change addresses minor bug fixes and no algorithm changes are affected
01.01.00	OFFL	Note: An updated version is anticipated to be activated at the end of July 2018. This processor change addresses minor bug fixes and no algorithm changes are affected

Table 2: History of CLOUD processor versions

3 Product Quality

3.1 Recommendations for data usage

In order to avoid misinterpretation of the data quality, it is recommended at the current stage to only use those TROPOMI pixels associated with a `qa_value` above 0.5. The `qa_value` summarizes the quality of the product by taking into consideration several aspects like the spectral channel quality flags from L1B data, geometry limitations (e.g. not reliable retrievals for $SZA > 75^\circ$), inhomogeneous scene warnings, high residual of the fitting process etc.

For further details, data users are encouraged to read the Product User Manual (PUM) and Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) associated with this data product, available on <https://sentinels.copernicus.eu/web/sentinel/technical-guides/sentinel-5p/products-algorithms>.

3.2 Validation results

3.2.1 Status of product validation

This section presents a summary of the key validation results obtained by the Validation data Analysis Facility (VDAF) of the S5P Mission Performance Centre (MPC). It contains preliminary results reported at the S5P First Public Release Validation Workshop (ESA/ESRIN, June 25-26, 2018). Individual contributions to the workshop are available in <https://nikal.eventsair.com/QuickEventWebsitePortal/sentinel-5p-first-product-release-workshop/sentinel-5p>.

Most recent validation results and consolidated validation reports are available through the MPC VDAF website at <http://mpc-vdaf.tropomi.eu>.

Current conclusions are based on the limited amount of reference measurements available at the time of this first analysis, and on the period covered by the initial S5p dataset. The conclusions summarized hereafter need to be confirmed by a larger amount of co-locations, and extended over a full year of data, hence, a full cycle of key influence quantities, in order to enable detection and quantification of potential patterns, dependences, seasonal cycles and longer term features.

3.2.2 Validation approach

In order to evaluate the quality of the cloud product, the user can refer to the `qa_value` (for the CAL parameters) and the `qa_value_crb` (for the CRB parameters). The main diagnostics of the retrieval are given in the `shannon_information_content`, the `degree_of_freedom` and the `fitted_root_mean_square`. Several error- and warning flags are collected in the `processing_quality_flags` for the CAL parameters and in the `processing_quality_flags_crb` for the CRB parameters. Details on the various errors and warnings contained in the processing quality flags can be found in section 5.7 of the Cloud ATBD [RD02]. Details on the product format can be found in the Cloud PUM [RD03]. For now it is suggested to use only those pixels with a `qa` value above 0.5.

The spatial mis-registration between TROPOMI Bands 3-4 and Band 6 can decrease the quality of the retrieved cloud parameters especially over heterogeneous scenes (e.g., at the cloud edges). The geolocation coordinates (latitude, longitude) at the L2_CLOUD product correspond to the ones from L1B Band 3. The `cloud_coregistration_inhomogeneity_parameter` is raised to higher values when the scene is heterogeneous and the spatial mis-registration between Bands 3-4 and 6 might have a large impact on the data quality. The range for the `cloud_coregistration_inhomogeneity_parameter` is defined between 0.0 and 1.0, where 0.0 implies a totally homogeneous scene and 1.0 a totally heterogeneous scene. For further details, please refer to the Cloud ATBD [RD02].

The errors related to the product retrieved parameters are also included in the L2_CLOUD product:

- (a) CAL (clouds-as-layers) [`cloud_fraction_precision`,
`cloud_top_height_precision`, `cloud_optical_thickness_precision`] and
- (b) CRB (clouds-as-reflecting-boundaries) [`cloud_fraction_crb_precision`,
`cloud_height_crb_precision`, `cloud_albedo_crb_precision`].

At the current version, the uncertainty contains mainly the random error of the fitting algorithm. The errors for rows 81 and 333 should be neglected because the L1 irradiance error is anomalously high.

3.2.2.1 *Ground-based lidar/radar*

From the Sentinel-5P TROPOMI L2_CLOUD validation data set, the `cloud_top_height` and `cloud_height_crb` were compared with the ground-based cloud target classification data sets from CLOUDNET (<http://www.cloud-net.org/>), based on lidar/radar measurements. Initial results have been obtained at four CLOUDNET sites. For more details, the user might refer to the S5P MPC VDAF website at <http://mpc-vdaf.tropomi.eu>. Full agreement is not expected, as the S5P CLOUD cloud height depends on optical thickness while CLOUDNET does not differentiate between optically thin or thick clouds. This initial comparison was restricted to high CF (>0.5) cases containing single-cloud layer since it is more straightforward to compare. The `cloud_top_height` is on average below the CLOUDNET cloud top height, but mostly within the 20% bias requirement. The `cloud_height_crb` is on average below the CLOUDNET mid-height, but within the 20% bias requirement. Intercomparison spread is above the precision requirement, but impact of comparison error is still to be investigated.

3.2.2.2 *Satellites*

The initial comparison with MODIS at the latitude ranges [$+60^{\circ}$, -60°] shows a good agreement between the two instruments. The mean difference between the geometric and radiometric cloud fraction was 0.34 with a standard deviation of 0.04, which is consistent with the results in Loyola et al. (2018) [RD04]. The mean cloud top height difference was found 1.0 km with a standard deviation of 0.4 km. The mean cloud optical thickness difference was 3.9 and the respective standard deviation 2.4.

The pixel-by-pixel comparison using VIIRS NASA non-operational data² showed a negative bias in the CTH (mean bias of 850 m). A positive bias of 13 was found in the COT. If optically thick clouds are excluded, the COT mean bias is ~5.

OCRA cloud fraction is more accurate for optically thick clouds. It is underestimated for scenes over optically thin clouds and over heterogeneous scenes (e.g. over small scattered clouds). The mean difference between VIIRS geometric cloud fraction and OCRA radiometric cloud fraction is about 0.2.

The `cloud_height_crb` and the `cloud_fraction_crb` x `cloud_albedo_crb` from the L2_CLOUD have been compared to the FRESCO auxiliary cloud product.

A sanity check has been performed by intercomparison to unvalidated FRESCO auxiliary cloud information. A histogram of the differences on 2018-05-12 (about 11 million observations, excluding snow or ice at the surface and excluding the sunglint geometries, using a `qa_value_crb` ≥ 0.5) shows the correlation between both products is 77%, with a mean difference of 0.09 and a standard deviation of 0.2.

The `cloud_height_crb` was compared directly for the same day, for cloud fractions > 0.2 (both products filtered separately, and otherwise the same selection criteria as for the cloud fraction x cloud albedo comparison). The correlation between both cloud heights is 89%, with a mean difference of 50 m and a standard deviation of 1.2 km.

² The VIIRS cloud datasets were obtained from a pre-production code run specifically for limited S5P team analysis. The initial public release of the VIIRS cloud products is expected sometime in summer/fall 2018. The VIIRS cloud algorithm is based on the MODIS Collection 6 algorithms [<https://modis-atmosphere.gsfc.nasa.gov/documentation/collection-6>; Platnick et al. (2017) [RD06]. Note that important changes to some algorithms have been required to account for missing MODIS spectral channels, including the use of the NOAA Algorithm Working Group (AWG) Cloud Height Algorithm developed by A. Heidinger et al. (2009) [RD05] as a replacement for the MODIS CO2 slicing algorithm.

3.2.3 Validation results

Overall, the quality of the initial CLOUD data product appears to comply with the mission requirements. The cloud top height for scenes with cloud fraction above 0.5 has been found within the 20% bias requirement (as outlined above). For the other two cloud parameters (cloud optical thickness and cloud fraction) the currently available data are not appropriate for the validation exercise. Only few comparisons have been done but due to the different definition of the physical parameter, the conclusions are not mature yet.

4 Known Data Quality Issues

Currently, the following data quality issues are known, not covered by the quality flags, and should be kept in mind when looking at the Cloud product itself and also at preliminary validation results.

An instrument feature: spatial mis-registration between TROPOMI bands 3-4 (OCRA, UV trace gas fitting window) and band 6 (ROCINN fitting window)

The band 3-4 (450 pixels per scanline) footprints are not fully aligned with the band 6 (448 pixels per scanline) ones. In the worst case, the miss-alignment can be in the order of half a ground pixel. The OCRA algorithm retrieves the CF at Bands 3 and 4. This is an *a priori* to ROCINN algorithm, which works in band 6. Over heterogeneous scenes the miss-registration might have a large impact on the data quality. In the current products, a shift of two detector pixels between band 3-4 and band 6 is applied based on initial assessment.

Insensitivity to very thin clouds

The retrieval takes place in the UV-VIS-NIR bands only up to 770 nm. In this part of the spectrum, the optically thin clouds (i.e., COT < 5) are retrieved less accurately compared to, for example, MODIS that uses also channels in μm range.

Treatment of multi-layer clouds

The cloud retrieval algorithm assumes that there is a single-cloud layer in the atmosphere. For cases with more than one cloud present, the retrieved parameters might be under- or over-estimated. For more details about the quantification of multi-layer clouds, refer to Loyola et al. (2018) [RD04].

Treatment of ice clouds

Regarding the CAL model, the current parameterization of clouds is based on liquid water clouds. Therefore, ice or mixed-phase clouds might not be accurately retrieved. From the initial preliminary validation, it seems that the ice clouds are retrieved with an overestimated optical thickness.

Snow/Ice conditions

Over bright surfaces (especially when there is no permanent snow/ice coverage), the performance of the algorithm is decreased. This might result in overestimation of the cloud fraction; cloud top height is very close to the surface height and the cloud optical thickness overestimated.

Unknown straylight impact in the NIR

The TROPOMI out-of-band straylight effect is not yet well assessed. The L1B radiance data in bands 5 and 6 (near infrared wavelength range) are corrected for the out of band straylight, but the correction scheme has not been fully validated. The pixels at the poles seem to be more sensitive to non-optimal straylight correction.

Saturation

Some TROPOMI pixels might be affected by saturation. Those pixels should be flagged and their quality is reflected in the `qa_value`. Nevertheless, in the vicinity of saturated pixels there might be pixels also affected by saturation due to the so-called blooming effect. The blooming effect will be addressed in a future L1B release. For those pixels, the ROCINN cloud parameters tend to be overestimated. In general, saturation is present over bright scenes (e.g. fully cloudy scenes with large optical depths).

Wrong Sensing dates in metadata

Note that there is a non-systematic problem in the sensing dates around mid-night: the reported dates in the global attributes can be wrong by one day ahead. This issue is solved with the activation of version 01.01.00 end July 2018.

NRTI data gaps northern hemisphere

The NRTI data stream shows data gaps over Kazakhstan, southern part of Russia and Canada due to a miss-configuration of the processing facility. This issue is solved with the activation of processor version 01.01.00 end July 2018 (see section 2).

Orbit numbering in NRTI and OFFL

Note that NRTI orbit numbers are set with respect to the downlink orbit while OFFL orbit numbers are set with respect to the equator crossing time. This creates an inconsistency between the NRTI and OFFL orbit numbers, which is removed with the activation of processor version 01.01.00 end July 2018.

5 Algorithm Change Record

For a detailed description of the L2__CLOUD_ algorithms, please refer to the ATBD [RD02] or to Loyola et al. (2018) [RD04].

6 Data Format

The product is stored as NetCDF4 file. The NetCDF4 file contains both the data and the metadata for the product.

For OFFL data the product is stored as a single file per satellite orbit, for NRTI data the product is stored as multiple files per orbit.

Details of the data format are provided in the Product User Manual (PUM) [RD03].

6.1 Data format changes

This document describes the first public release of the data product, therefore there are no changes to report.

7 Product Availability

All S5P/TROPOMI data are available on the Copernicus Open Data Hub <https://scihub.copernicus.eu>.

More information on this data product and data handling tools are available from the product web page under heading 'Tools': <http://www.tropomi.eu/data-products>.

For further questions regarding S5P/TROPOMI data products please contact EOSupport@Copernicus.esa.int.

Legal and Copyright information

The access and use of any Sentinel data available through the Sentinel Data Hub is governed by the Legal Notice on the use of Copernicus Sentinel Data and Service Information and is given here: https://sentinels.copernicus.eu/documents/247904/690755/Sentinel_Data_Legal_Notice.

8 References

- [RD01] Sentinel-5 Precursor Calibration and Validation Plan for the Operational Phase
source: ESA; **ref:** ESA-EOPG-CSCOP-PL-0073; **issue:** 1.0 **date** 2017-06-11
url: <https://sentinel.esa.int/documents/247904/2474724/Sentinel-5P-Calibration-and-Validation-Plan.pdf>
- [RD02] Sentinel-5 precursor/TROPOMI Level 2 Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document Cloud
source: DLR; **ref:** S5P-L2-DLR-ATBD-400I; **issue:** 1.5 **date** 2018-04-30
url: <https://sentinel.esa.int/documents/247904/2476257/Sentinel-5P-TROPOMI-ATBD-Clouds>
- [RD03] Sentinel-5 precursor/TROPOMI Level 2 Product User Manual Cloud
source: DLR; **ref:** S5P-L2-DLR-PUM-400I; **issue:** 1.5 **date** 2018-04-30
url: <https://sentinel.esa.int/documents/247904/2474726/Sentinel-5P-Level-2-Product-User-Manual-Cloud>
- [RD04] Loyola, D. G., Gimeno García, S., Lutz, R., Argyrouli, A., Romahn, F., Spurr, R. J. D., Pedergrana, M., Doicu, A., Molina García, V., and Schüssler, O.: The operational cloud retrieval algorithms from TROPOMI on board Sentinel-5 Precursor, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 409-427, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-409-2018>, 2018.
- [RD05] Heidinger, Andrew K. and Pavolonis, Michael J.: Gazing at cirrus clouds for 25 years through a split window, part1: Methodology. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, Volume 48, Issue 6, pp.1100-1116, 2009.
- [RD06] Platnick, S. et al.: The MODIS Cloud Optical and Microphysical Products: Collection 6 Updates and Examples From Terra and Aqua, Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on, 55(1), 502-525, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2016.2610522, 2016.

More information on this data product is available from the Sentinel product webpage:

<https://sentinels.copernicus.eu/web/sentinel/technical-guides/sentinel-5p/products-algorithms>,

and from the corresponding TROPOMI product webpage <http://www.tropomi.eu/data-products>.

Abbreviations and acronyms

ATBD	Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document
BIRA-IASB	Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy
CF	Cloud Fraction (fractional cloud cover)
CLOUDNET	Cloud properties monitoring Network
COT	Cloud Optical thickness
CTH	Cloud Top Height
DLR	German Aerospace Center / Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt
EARLINET	European Aerosol Research Lidar Network
ESA	European Space Agency
ESL	Expert Support Laboratory
GOME(-2)	Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment(-2)
KNMI	Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute
lidar	Light Detection And Ranging
MPC	Mission Performance Centre
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NRTI	Near Real Time (timeliness of products)
OFFL	Offline (timeliness of products)
OMI	Ozone Monitoring Instrument
PRF	Product Readme File
PUM	Product User Manual
QWG	Quality Working Group
S5P	Sentinel-5 Precursor
S5PVT	Sentinel-5 Precursor Validation Team
Suomi NPP	Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership
TROPOMI	Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument
VDAF	Validation Data Analysis Facility
VIIRS	Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite