## PREPARATION AND OPERATIONS OF THE MISSION PERFORMANCE CENTRE (MPC) FOR THE COPERNICUS SENTINEL-3 MISSION

## **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Start date: 12/02/2018

End date: 11/03/2018



Mission
Performance
Centre

SENTINEL 3



Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

**Issue:** 1.0

**Date:** 16/03/2018

Contract: 4000111836/14/I-LG

Customer:	ESA	Document Ref.:	S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028
Contract No.:	4000111836/14/I-LG	Date:	16/03/2018
isardSAT Doc	. Ref.: ISARD_ESA_S3_MPC_RP_624	Issue:	1.0

Project:	PREPARATION AND OPERATIONS OF THE MISSION PERFORMANCE CENTRE (MPC) FOR THE COPERNICUS SENTINEL-3 MISSION				
Title:	S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report				
Author(s):	Pablo García, Albert García	(isardSAT)			
Approved by:	G. Quartly, STM ESL Coordinator				
Distribution:	ESA, EUMETSAT, S3MPC co	onsortium			
Accepted by ESA	P. Féménias, MPC TO				
Filename	S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028 - i1r0 - SRAL Cyclic Report 028.docx				

#### Disclaimer

The work performed in the frame of this contract is carried out with funding by the European Union. The views expressed herein can in no way be taken to reflect the official opinion of either the European Union or the European Space Agency.









### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: iii

## **Changes Log**

Version	Date	Changes
1.0	16/03/2018	First Version

## **List of Changes**

Version	Section	Answers to RID	Changes



### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: iv

### **Table of content**

1	INTR	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	SCOPE OF THE DOCUMENT	1
	1.2	ACRONYMS	1
	1.3	PROCESSING BASELINE VERSION	1
2	SRAI	L INTERNAL CALIBRATION MONITORING	2
	2.1	Introduction	2
	2.2	CYCLIC IN-FLIGHT INTERNAL CALIBRATION.	3
	2.2.1	1 CAL1 LRM	3
	2.2.2	2 CAL1 SAR	9
	2.2.3	3 System Transfer Function (CAL2)	17
	2.2.4	4 AutoCAL (CAL1 SAR Auto)	21
	2.2.5	5 Housekeeping Temperatures	22
	2.3	CYCLIC SRAL STATUS SUMMARY	25
	2.4	MISSION SRAL STATUS SUMMARY	
	2.5	ON-BOARD CLOCK PERFORMANCE	37
	2.6	SRAL DEDICATED INVESTIGATIONS	39
3	CALI	BRATION WITH TRANSPONDER	40
4	EVE	NTS	46
5	APP	ENDIX A	47



### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: v

## **List of Figures**

Figure 2-1. Location of the CAL1 LRM measurements	4
Figure 2-2. CAL1 LRM Ku Time Delay trend	5
Figure 2-3. CAL1 LRM C Time Delay trend	5
Figure 2-4. CAL1 LRM Ku Power Trend	6
Figure 2-5. CAL1 LRM C Power Trend	6
Figure 2-6. CAL1 LRM Ku PTR width trend	7
Figure 2-7. CAL1 LRM C PTR width trend	7
Figure 2-8. CAL1 LRM Ku PTR secondary lobes Power and Position within the PTR waveform	8
Figure 2-9. CAL1 LRM C PTR secondary lobes Power and Position within the PTR waveform.	8
Figure 2-10. CAL1 LRM PTR secondary lobes characterisation. The inter-annual slope (in dB/year standard deviation (in dBx10^-2) of each of the secondary lobes during the period are shown.	-
Figure 2-11. Location of the CAL1 SAR measurements	10
Figure 2-12. CAL1 SAR Ku Time Delay trend	11
Figure 2-13. CAL1 SAR C Time Delay trend	11
Figure 2-14. CAL1 SAR Ku Power Trend	12
Figure 2-15. CAL1 SAR C Power Trend	12
Figure 2-16. CAL1 SAR Ku PTR width trend	13
Figure 2-17. CAL1 SAR C PTR width trend	13
Figure 2-18. CAL1 SAR Ku PTR secondary lobes Power and Position within the PTR waveform	14
Figure 2-19. CAL1 SAR C PTR secondary lobes Power and Position within the PTR waveform.	14
Figure 2-20. CAL1 SAR PTR secondary lobes characterisation: Cycle inter-annual slope (in dB/year standard deviation (in dBx10^-2) of each of the secondary lobes	
Figure 2-21. CAL1 SAR Ku Power intra-burst correction along the period	16
Figure 2-22. CAL1 SAR Ku Phase intra-burst correction along the period	16
Figure 2-23. CAL1 SAR Ku Phase & Power intra-burst corrections slopes over the analysis period	17
Figure 2-24. Pulse by pulse standard deviations of the CAL1 SAR Ku Power and Phase intra corrections	
Figure 2-25. Location of the CAL2 measurements	18
Figure 2-26. Averaged CAL2 Ku and C waveforms over the period	18
Figure 2-27. CAL2 Ku waveforms over the period	19
Figure 2-28. CAL2 C waveforms over the period	19



### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

### Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: vi

Figure 2-29. CAL2 Ku waveforms right (blue) and left (red) sides Slope over the period20
Figure 2-30. CAL2 C waveforms right (blue) and left (red) sides Slope over the period20
Figure 2-31. CAL2 Ku waveforms right (blue) and left (red) sides Standard Deviation over the period21
Figure 2-32. CAL2 C waveforms right (blue) and left (red) sides Standard Deviation over the period21
Figure 2-33. Location of the AutoCal measurements22
Figure 2-34. AutoCal measurements: Corrected - Reference. Averaged over the analysis period22
Figure 2-35. First group of Thermistors time series on CAL1 LRM IQ mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period23
Figure 2-36. Second group of Thermistors time series on CAL1 LRM IQ mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period23
Figure 2-37. First group of Thermistors time series on CAL1 SAR mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period24
Figure 2-38. Second group of Thermistors time series on CAL1 SAR mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period24
Figure 2-39. First group of Thermistors time series on CAL2 mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period25
Figure 2-40. Second group of Thermistors time series on CAL2 mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period25
Figure 2-41. CAL1 SAR Ku Time Delay Whole Mission Trend29
Figure 2-42. CAL1 SAR Ku Power Whole Mission Trend29
Figure 2-43. CAL1 SAR Ku PTR Width Whole Mission Trend30
Figure 2-44. CAL1 SAR Ku Phase & Power intra-burst corrections slopes along the whole mission30
Figure 2-45. CAL1 SAR Ku Power intra-burst correction along the whole mission31
Figure 2-46. CAL1 SAR Ku Phase intra-burst correction along the whole mission31
Figure 2-47. CAL2 Ku waveforms ripples over the whole mission32
Figure 2-48. Slope at each side of the CAL2 Ku waveform, averaged over the whole mission32
Figure 2-49. CAL2 Ku waveform standard deviation at each side after compensating by the slope, averaged over the whole mission33
Figure 2-50. Autocal measurements: Corrected - Reference. Averaged over the whole mission33
Figure 2-51. AutoCAL attenuation whole mission progression for Ku-band. Difference in dB with respect to the first attenuation value, for each attenuation step34
Figure 2-52. AutoCAL attenuation whole mission progression for C-band. Difference in dB with respect to the first attenuation value, for each attenuation step34
Figure 2-53. CAL1 SAR thermistors series along the whole mission. First group35



### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

#### Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: vii

Figure 2-54. CAL1 SAR thermistors series along the whole mission. Second group35
Figure 2-55. USO frequency as in USO Auxiliary File. The results depicted are in Hz and correspond to the Delta with respect to the 10 MHz USO nominal frequency38
Figure 2-56. USO frequency impact in range, considering a circular orbit at 815 Km over a circular earth (constant echo travel)38
Figure 3-1. Range Bias Results44
Figure 3-2. Datation Bias Results44
Figure 3-3. Alignment Results45
Figure 3-4. Stack Noise Results45
Figure 3-5 Processor Comparison, Range46
Figure 3-6 Processor Comparison, Datation46

### **List of Tables**

period	•
Table 2-2. Collection of calibration parameters statistics for all modes and bands covering mission	
Table 3-1. Results of TRP passes processing	42
Table 3-2. Geophysical Corrections of TRP passes processing	43



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 1

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Scope of the document

This document is dedicated to the cyclic monitoring report of the SRAL calibration parameters within the Sentinel-3 MPC project. This includes also a whole mission analysis.

#### 1.2 Acronyms

ADF	Auxiliary Data File
Cal/Val	Calibration / Validation
CNES	Centre National d'Études Spatiales
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
ESA	European Space Agency
ESL	Expert Support Laboratory
ESTEC	European Space Technology Centre
НКТМ	House Keeping Temperatures Monitoring
IOCR	In-Orbit Commissioning Review
LRM	Low Resolution Mode
MPC	Mission Performance Centre
PTR	Point Target Response
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SCCDB	Satellite Calibration and Characterisation Database
SCT	Satellite Commissioning Team
SRAL	Synthetic Aperture Radar Altimeter
TBD	To Be Done

## 1.3 Processing Baseline Version

IPF	IPF / Processing Baseline version	Date of deployment
SR1	06.12 / 2.25	CGS: 13/12/2017 09:59 UTC (NRT) PAC: 13/12/2017 09:59 UTC (STC/NTC)
SR1	06.13 / 2.25	CGS: 14/02/2018 10:31 UTC PAC: 14/02/2018 10:18 UTC



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 2

## 2 SRAL Internal Calibration Monitoring.

#### 2.1 Introduction

The SRAL instrumental calibration is assessed during the mission. Several parameters are monitored and analysed in detail in order to characterise the altimeter performance along the mission lifetime.

Two main groups of calibration parameters are monitored.

The first is derived from the Point Target Response (PTR) calibration in CAL1 mode. The PTR signal follows the same circuitry path as the science waveforms within the calibration loop. The delay caused by the travel through the calibration path can be measured and afterwards compensated in the total range computation. The attenuation suffered by the signal when traveling through the instrument also needs to be monitored and the science waveforms need to be compensated for this power variations. Moreover, there are a collection of other parameters to be checked, such as the PTR width and the secondary lobes features. These CAL1 parameters are produced separately for LRM and SAR modes, as they follow different instrumental paths, and also they are duplicated for Ku-band and C-band. Moreover there are different options for characterising the delay and power of the closed loop signal, such as the PTR maximum power or PTR maximum position.

The second is related to the Instrument Transfer Function, measured by the CAL2 mode. The science waveforms spectra is distorted by the on-board instrumental hardware sections. Therefore, in order to retrieve the original echo shape, we need to compensate for this effect. Several parameters are derived from the analysis of the CAL2 waveforms for characterizing it and dissect any feature along the mission lifetime. The CAL2 waveform is the same for both modes LRM and SAR, but there is a distinction between bands Ku and C.

Additionally, for SAR mode, the two intra-burst corrections are monitored: they are the power and phase progressions within a burst. Science pulses within a burst are to be corrected for these expected variations in the burst. Some characteristics are computed for describing and following up their behaviour along the S3 mission.

It is also of major importance the monitoring of the on-board clocks. The altimeter clock counter, responsible for computing the echo travel time, has a multiplicative impact in the range determination. The platform clock is responsible for the overall platform instruments datation. Their stability and performance are to be supervised along the mission.

Finally, the data coming from the thermistors located in the different sections of the on-board HW (HKTM products), are to be analysed in order to check the relation of any calibration parameters anomaly with the thermal behaviour, and find solutions for modelling the instrument characterisation (for instance orbital oscillations) if needed.

An important remark is to be made: although we can see a certain drift of a specific calibration parameter along the mission, this is not to be considered as a warning for the quality of the science

#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 3

data, as long as the instrumental calibration is correctly applied during the science data processing. A warning shall be raised in the scenario of a calibration parameter value approaching the mission requirement bounds.

### 2.2 Cyclic In-Flight Internal Calibration.

In this chapter, the monitoring of all calibration modes main parameters is depicted in figures. An analysis of the cycle results is developed in chapter 2.3.

#### 2.2.1 CAL1 LRM




Figure 2-1. Location of the CAL1 LRM measurements.

## Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018



# Mission Performance Centre

#### Sentinel-3 MPC


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018



## Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018



## SENTINEL 3 Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018






Figure 2-9. CAL1 LRM C PTR secondary lobes Power and Position within the PTR waveform.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018




Figure 2-10. CAL1 LRM PTR secondary lobes characterisation. The inter-annual slope (in dB/year) and standard deviation (in dBx10^-2) of each of the secondary lobes during the period are shown.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 9

#### 2.2.2 CAL1 SAR






Figure 2-11. Location of the CAL1 SAR measurements.

## Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018



# SENTINEL 3 Mission Performance Contre

#### Sentinel-3 MPC


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018



## SENTINEL 3 Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018



## SENTINEL 3 Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018






Figure 2-19. CAL1 SAR C PTR secondary lobes Power and Position within the PTR waveform.

## Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**

#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018




Figure 2-20. CAL1 SAR PTR secondary lobes characterisation: Cycle inter-annual slope (in dB/year) and standard deviation (in dBx10^-2) of each of the secondary lobes.

## Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**

#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018






Figure 2-21. CAL1 SAR Ku Power intra-burst correction along the period.




Figure 2-22. CAL1 SAR Ku Phase intra-burst correction along the period.

## SENTINEL 3 Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**

#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018




Figure 2-23. CAL1 SAR Ku Phase & Power intra-burst corrections slopes over the analysis period.




Figure 2-24. Pulse by pulse standard deviations of the CAL1 SAR Ku Power and Phase intra-burst corrections.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 17

#### 2.2.3 System Transfer Function (CAL2)







Figure 2-25. Location of the CAL2 measurements.






#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018



## Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**

#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 19




Figure 2-30. CAL2 C waveforms right (blue) and left (red) sides Slope over the period.

## SENTINEL 3 Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**

#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018




Figure 2-31. CAL2 Ku waveforms right (blue) and left (red) sides Standard Deviation over the period.




Figure 2-32. CAL2 C waveforms right (blue) and left (red) sides Standard Deviation over the period.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 21

#### 2.2.4 AutoCAL (CAL1 SAR Auto)




Figure 2-33. Location of the AutoCal measurements.




Figure 2-34. AutoCal measurements: Corrected - Reference. Averaged over the analysis period.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 22

#### 2.2.5 Housekeeping Temperatures




Figure 2-35. First group of Thermistors time series on CAL1 LRM IQ mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period.




Figure 2-36. Second group of Thermistors time series on CAL1 LRM IQ mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018






Figure 2-37. First group of Thermistors time series on CAL1 SAR mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period.




Figure 2-38. Second group of Thermistors time series on CAL1 SAR mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period.




#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018






Figure 2-39. First group of Thermistors time series on CAL2 mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period.




Figure 2-40. Second group of Thermistors time series on CAL2 mode. The temperatures are averaged for each calibration product over the analysis period.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 25

#### 2.3 Cyclic SRAL Status Summary

This section is dedicated to a summary of the cyclic performances and status of the altimeter parameters exposed in section 2.2.

In this specific cycle it is observed the impact of the new processing baseline PB 2.27 in the calibration parameters. At the beginning of the cycle there are some outputs from the previous processing baseline, making clear the improvement.

The new processing baseline changes related to the CAL algorithms are:

- The use of an averaged (over 27 days) CAL2 waveform for the CAL1 waveform correction
- The use of the CAL2 Ku band waveform for correcting both Ku and C bands CAL1 waveforms.

The impact of the new processing baseline is evident, reducing the CAL1 variables noise considerably.

For the analysed period, none of the calibration parameters is showing a significant anomalous behaviour. Nonetheless some specific observations are explained here below.

As expected, the Ku band (SAR science main band) calibration parameters performances are better (less data dispersion) than the ones from the C band.

In general, the LRM and SAR performances are similar for a given band (Ku or C).

In Table 2-1 the main CAL1 parameters statistics are detailed.

	Ku band			C band		
Calibration Parameter	mean	annual slope	standard deviation	mean	annual slope	standard deviation
LRM CAL1 time delay	1.0069 m	1.94 mm	0.19 mm	0.8931 m	-10.69 mm	0.80 mm
SAR CAL1 time delay	1.0068 m	2.19 mm	0.18 mm	0.8938 m	-10.87 mm	0.81 mm
LRM CAL1 power	57.56 dB	-0.204 dB	0.01 dB	50.94 dB	-2.59 dB	0.14 dB
SAR CAL1 power	61.99 dB	-0.202 dB	0.01 dB	48.45 dB	-2.59 dB	0.14 dB
LRM CAL1 PTR width	0.4164 m	0.468 mm	1.27 mm	0.4546 m	-21.69 mm	1.90 mm
SAR CAL1 PTR width	0.4161 m	0.514 mm	1.27 mm	0.4545 m	-21.66 mm	1.90 mm

Table 2-1. Collection of calibration parameters statistics for all modes and bands covering the cycle period.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 26

It is possible that the change of processing baseline within the cycle impacts some variables drifts. More meaningful results will be gathered when the current processing baseline is used for all the CAL measurements in the cycle.

The CAL1 power trend for Ku band is no longer close to -1 dB/yr as at the first cycles of the mission (see section 2.4). It follows a decreasing trend but is slowly becoming less negative. The CAL1 power trend for C band has been changing its trend sign from cycle to cycle.

The Ku band CAL1 time delay slope has been changing its trend sign along the mission, with values in the order of few mm/year, usually with negative values, but with a general tendency to have positive values in the future.

The Ku band CAL1 width inter-annual drift is several orders of magnitude below the nominal PTR width value (Ku-band) and comparable to its standard deviation.

The thermistors values are showing a stable series over the analysed period, except for an excursion of around 0.2 °C between decimal years 2018.09 and 2018.10. This temperature anomaly has no correlation with any calibration parameter behaviour with the exception of the Burst Power correction.

CAL2 and Autocal parameters are stable.

All these observations are related to the different SRAL calibration parameters during this cycle. A whole mission monitoring is developed in section 2.4.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 27

#### 2.4 Mission SRAL Status Summary

The main L1b calibration parameters series are gathered and plotted in this section, in order to observe their whole mission behaviour. For the sake of simplicity, the C band and the LRM mode have been excluded.

The plotted calibration parameters are:

- CAL1 time delay
- CAL1 power
- PTR width
- Burst corrections (power and phase) and their slopes
- CAL2 waveform ripples shape, plus the waveforms slopes and de-trended standard deviations
- Autocal averaged differences and attenuation progression

Also the SAR mode thermistors series is plotted.

The processing with the new PB2.27 put in operations the 14<sup>th</sup> of February is not yet completed. That is why the figures show a noisier section in some of the CAL1 parameters series. This is not happening with the intra-burst corrections because they are relative, not absolute.

Also, due to the combination of results from 2 different processing baselines, it is possible that some monitored values are impacted. In future cyclic reports this will be corrected.

## SENTINEL 3 Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**


Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018





## SENTINEL 3 Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**


Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018





## Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**

#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018




Figure 2-45. CAL1 SAR Ku Power intra-burst correction along the whole mission.




Figure 2-46. CAL1 SAR Ku Phase intra-burst correction along the whole mission.

# Mission Parformance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**


#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018






Figure 2-48. Slope at each side of the CAL2 Ku waveform, averaged over the whole mission.

# Mission Performance Centre

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**

#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018




Figure 2-49. CAL2 Ku waveform standard deviation at each side after compensating by the slope, averaged over the whole mission.




Figure 2-50. Autocal measurements: Corrected - Reference. Averaged over the whole mission.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 33

#### AutoCAL attenuation progression series.




Figure 2-51. AutoCAL attenuation whole mission progression for Ku-band. Difference in dB with respect to the first attenuation value, for each attenuation step.




Figure 2-52. AutoCAL attenuation whole mission progression for C-band. Difference in dB with respect to the first attenuation value, for each attenuation step.



#### S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report


Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 34



So far the only clear and notable drift observed in the whole mission series is in the CAL1 Ku Power series, where we observe a significant power decay. Anyhow, it has decreased the observed trend in the last cycles. In cycles 8, 18 and 28 the whole mission SAR Ku Total Power trend in absolute values was respectively of 0.96, 0.69, and 0.57 dB/year. Hence, we can state a slow stabilisation of this parameter.

Figure 2-54. CAL1 SAR thermistors series along the whole mission. Second group.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 35

Also the PTR time delay has decreased its negative trend. In cycles 8, 18 and 28 the whole mission SAR Ku Time Delay trend in absolute values was respectively of 1.99, 1.33 and 0.99 mm/year.

The Ku band PTR width has a trend that is three orders of magnitude below its absolute value, and half the value of its standard deviation.

The attenuation steps progression in dB is shown in Figure 2-51 and Figure 2-52, where we can check, for each attenuation step, the delta in attenuation with respect to the previous value in time. The tendencies are visible for specific attenuations in each band case, with small drifts (see colour code at right hand side) of up to 0.06 dB.

The intra-burst corrections slopes along the mission are quite stable. The burst power slope shows a certain annual behaviour. The burst phase slope is increasing along the mission.

The CAL2 parameters behaviour is stable along the mission.

The thermistors data series are generally showing an annual behaviour, and a long term negative drift, as shown in Figure 2-53 and Figure 2-54. Around decimal year 2016.7, 2017.1, 2017.55 and 2018.1, there is an increase of the thermistors values of around 0.2 °C, returning after several orbits to its precedent values.

Finally, the collection of statistics for the main calibration parameters is depicted in Table 2-2 for both modes and bands. Once more we observe the better performance (less standard deviation) of the Ku band with respect to the C band, and the general similar values and trends between modes (with some exceptions such as the time delay slope and the power absolute values).

	Ku band			C band			
Calibration Parameter	mean	annual slope	standard deviation	mean	annual slope	standard deviation	
LRM CAL1 time delay	1.0077 m	-1.40 mm	0.87 mm	0.8933 m	-0.43 mm	0.71 mm	
SAR CAL1 time delay	1.0074 m	-0.99 mm	0.62 mm	0.8939 m	-0.29 mm	0.69 mm	
LRM CAL1 power	58.00 dB	-0.55 dB	0.32 dB	50.94 dB	0.11 dB	0.13 dB	
SAR CAL1 power	62.45 dB	-0.57 dB	0.34 dB	48.45 dB	0.11 dB	0.13 dB	
LRM CAL1 PTR width	0.4168 m	-0.57 mm	0.43 mm	0.4544 m	-0.04 mm	1.59 mm	
SAR CAL1 PTR width	0.4166 m	-0.59 mm	0.44 mm	0.4543 m	-0.06 mm	1.59 mm	

Table 2-2. Collection of calibration parameters statistics for all modes and bands covering the whole mission.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 36

The long term drift for the time delay and power variables is higher in absolute terms for the Ku band than for the C band, while the standard deviation is always lower for the Ku band. This means that, although the Ku band chain results are better than the one from C band, the Ku band ageing is faster than the one from C band, probably caused by the more stressed Ku band instrumental operations (e.g. bursts transmission & reception only in Ku band).

The PTR width standard deviation for the C band is around 6 times higher than the one from the Ku band, for both operational modes.

In most cases, a jump is observed at BOM, when the change from LRM to SAR mode was implemented.

As a general observation, we can say that the behaviour of all calibration parameters is nominal. Nevertheless the different values shall be compared to the official S3 mission SRAL instrumental requirements in order to make a final statement. Once they are gathered, the calibration performance check versus requirements will be made, and warnings will be raised accordingly.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 37

#### 2.5 On-board Clock Performance

The altimeter USO clock frequency has a major multiplicative impact in the determination of the altimeter range. The USO clock is the one that drives the chirp generation and controls the acquisition time (window delay or tracker range) of the returned echo signal. Here below are depicted the USO frequency long term monitoring (Figure 2-55) and its impact on the altimeter range (Figure 2-56).




Figure 2-55. USO frequency as in USO Auxiliary File. The results depicted are in Hz and correspond to the Delta with respect to the 10 MHz USO nominal frequency.




Figure 2-56. USO frequency impact in range, considering a circular orbit at 815 Km over a circular earth (constant echo travel).

# SENTINEL 3 Mission Performance

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**

#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 38

The USO clock frequency impact in the range has a constant trend of about 5 mm per year.

The USO impact in the range can change around an orbit considering an elliptical orbit and the variations on the surface elevations, but these differences are far below the nominal absolute values.

Also the temperatures on-board can make the clock suffer frequency fluctuations, but as we can see in the previous figures, no visible effects of this kind has been observed so far.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 39

#### 2.6 SRAL Dedicated Investigations

This chapter is devoted to the investigations derived from observations along the mission. The on-going investigations results will be updated in each new version of the report; solved issues will be dismissed from the report.

The flagging of some L1b CAL2 parameters (mean, slope and standard deviation over the slope) seems to be reversed. This issue is not impacting the quality of the science data. An investigation is ongoing by the S3-MPC team. The cause could be related to the use of different units in the variables checking. Also the impact of a low absolute error in the final relative error computation is to be addressed. Updated nominal and redundant characterization ADFs are to be delivered.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 40

### 3 Calibration with Transponder

isardSAT has processed the TRP data from a list of L1A products. Passes with IPF-SR-1 Version 06.13 use reprocessed L1A and L2 data (cycle 3 to 23). Passes from cycle 24 to 28 are still processed with IPF-SR-1 Version 06.11 as the reprocessed data is not available.

The range bias results are of the order of millimetres. The datation bias is of the order of hundreds of microseconds.

The passes on cycles 13 and 21 (2017/08/08) have not been analysed because the transponder was not switched on due to extreme climate conditions.

Table 3-1 and Figure 3-1 to Figure 3-4 present the results from the TRP passes processing. The range bias is computed as measured minus theoretical. The results show a positive measured range, 7.92 mm larger than expected (elevation 7.92 mm shorter than expected), and a datation bias of -165.01 microseconds, both extracted from the minimisation of the RMS between theoretical and measured series, and from the stack misalignment estimation. They also show a ~0.84 mm stack noise.

The regression line in Figure 3-1 shows a drift of 3.18 mm/year, but it has a very low significance.

In Figure 3-5 and Figure 3-6 it is shown a comparison between the two processing baselines. We can see that there are no significant differences in range and datation bias.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

		Range bias Datation bias		Alignment	Noise	IPF-SR-1		
Cycle	Date	[mm]	[microseconds]	[mm/beam]	[mm]	Version		
3	2016/04/09	0.33	-114.61	-0.08	0.81	06.13		
4	2016/05/06	14.29	-127.34	-0.07	0.78	06.13		
5	2016/06/02	-3.91	-165.54	-0.09	0.86	06.13		
6	2016/06/29	1.00	-140.07	-0.06	0.92	06.13		
7	2016/07/26	-2.84	-152.77	-0.07	1.01	06.13		
8	2016/08/22	-0.50	-127.32	-0.09	0.81	06.13		
9	2016/09/18	1.19	-203.73	-0.13	0.71	06.13		
10	2016/10/15	8.83	-178.28	-0.12	0.66	06.13		
11	2016/11/11	19.89	-127.32	-0.09	0.79	06.13		
12	2016/12/08	21.13	-140.07 -0.07		0.82	06.13		
13	Transponder not switched on due to heavy snow.							
14	2017/01/31	26.38	-140.07	-0.09	0.72	06.13		
15	2017/02/27	2.74	-165.54	-0.10	0.86	06.13		
16	2017/03/26	-0.24	-216.48	-0.13	0.79	06.13		
17	2017/04/22	14.70	-165.54	-0.13	1.05	06.13		
18	2017/05/19	28.66	-127.34	-0.06	1.11	06.13		
19	2017/06/15	-4.15	-203.74	-0.09	1.28	06.13		
20	2017/07/12	-15.89	-127.34 -0.09		0.70	06.13		
21	Transponder not switched on due to high temperatures.							
22	2017/09/04	26.32	-165.54	-0.12	0.69	06.13		
23	2017/10/01	19.51	-152.81	-0.10	0.70	06.13		
24	2017/10/28	0.31	-229.21	-0.15	0.92	06.11		
25	2017/11/24	15.63	-203.74	-0.15	0.83	06.11		
26	2017/12/21	-2.65	-127.34	-0.06	0.79	06.11		
27	2018/01/17	8.41	-203.74	-0.14	0.92	06.11		
28	2018/02/13	10.89	-229.21	-0.14	0.72	06.11		
	Mean	7.92	-165.01	-0.10	0.84	-		
Standard Deviation		11.74	35.52	0.03	0.15	-		

Table 3-1. Results of TRP passes processing



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 42

Regarding the geophysical corrections, the ionospheric and wet/dry tropospheric corrections were extracted from the transponder auxiliary files provided by the MPC team.

Then, the solid earth, geocentric tide and ocean loading corrections are selected from the L2 products. A table with the Geophysical corrections used is shown in Table 3-2. The TRP internal delay is 4.954 meters.

Cycle	Date	Dry Tropo [m]	Wet Tropo [m]	Iono [m]	Solid Earth [m]	Geocentric Tide [m]	Ocean Loading [m]
3	2016/04/09	-2.02600	-0.08710	-0.02418	-0.12970	0.00290	0.00310
4	2016/05/06	-2.04220	-0.07140	-0.03489	-0.04410	0.00230	0.01020
5	2016/06/02	-2.05024	-0.12316	-0.03851	0.03480	0.00100	0.00900
6	2016/06/29	-2.05184	-0.11006	-0.02995	-0.00030	-0.00060	0.00250
7	2016/07/26	-2.04933	-0.07117	-0.02432	-0.08820	-0.00260	-0.00200
8	2016/08/22	-2.05343	-0.07527	-0.02265	-0.12310	-0.00380	-0.00140
9	2016/09/18	-2.05799	-0.12541	-0.02411	-0.03270	-0.00470	0.00360
10	2016/10/15	-2.06529	-0.06351	-0.01800	0.13620	-0.00470	0.00680
11	2016/11/11	-2.06734	-0.07036	-0.01639	0.19500	-0.00400	0.00310
12	2016/12/08	-2.07943	-0.01087	-0.01453	0.09160	-0.00270	-0.00300
14	2017/01/31	-2.06552	-0.01138	-0.01913	-0.08110	0.00060	-0.00220
15	2017/02/27	-2.05001	-0.09089	-0.01818	-0.00790	0.00200	0.00310
16	2017/03/26	-2.05115	-0.06735	-0.01618	0.11520	0.00270	0.00520
17	2017/04/22	-2.04841	-0.04449	-0.02672	0.13670	0.00270	0.00160
18	2017/05/19	-2.05320	-0.03910	-0.03295	0.03340	0.00210	-0.00260
19	2017/06/15	-2.05731	-0.07789	-0.02817	-0.08180	0.00080	-0.00230
20	2017/07/12	-2.05252	-0.08288	-0.02232	-0.11870	-0.00080	0.00190
22	2017/09/04	-2.05777	-0.00013	-0.02142	0.02520	-0.00370	0.00900
23	2017/10/01	-2.06119	-0.06361	-0.02128	0.05060	-0.00440	0.00510
24	2017/10/28	-2.03975	-0.12175	-0.01537	0.00120	-0.00440	-0.00040
25	2017/11/24	-2.06119	-0.06461	-0.01486	-0.03940	-0.00340	-0.00270
26	21/12/2017	-2.05275	-0.12315	-0.01603	-0.00760	-0.00150	-0.00150
27	2018/01/17	-2.03678	-0.06282	-0.01587	0.08640	-0.00020	0.00100
28	2018/02/13	-2.04978	-0.04902	-0.01518	0.17020	0.00130	0.00130

Table 3-2. Geophysical Corrections of TRP passes processing




#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018






#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018





2016.2

2016.5

2016.8

Datation bias, Processor: 6.13

#### **Sentinel-3 MPC**

#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 45




Figure 3-6 Processor Comparison, Datation

2017.1

Time (years)

2017.4

2017.7

Datation bias, Processor: 6.11

2018.0



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 46

### 4 Events

A new processing baseline PB 2.27 has been put in operations on  $14^{th}$  February, impacting the CAL1 results in terms of reducing the noise.



#### **S3-A SRAL Cyclic Performance Report**

Cycle No. 028

Ref.: S3MPC.ISR.PR.04-028

Issue: 1.0

Date: 16/03/2018

Page: 47

# 5 Appendix A

Other reports related to the STM mission are:

- S3-A MWR Cyclic Performance Report, Cycle No. 028 (ref. S3MPC.CLS.PR.05-028)
- S3-A Ocean Validation Cyclic Performance Report, Cycle No. 028 (ref. S3MPC.CLS.PR.06-028)
- S3-A Winds and Waves Cyclic Performance Report, Cycle No. 028 (ref. S3MPC.ECM.PR.07-028)
- \$3-A Land and Sea Ice Cyclic Performance Report, Cycle No. 028 (ref. S3MPC.UCL.PR.08-028)

All Cyclic Performance Reports are available on MPC pages in Sentinel Online website, at: <a href="https://sentinel.esa.int">https://sentinel.esa.int</a>

**End of document**