

Ocean and Land Colour Instrument (OLCI)

FAPAR and Rectified Channels over Terrestrial Surfaces

Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document

Document Ref: S3-L2-SD-03-C13-JRC-ATBD_FAPAR_v2.0-100701

Author: Nadine Gobron







EUR No. XXXXXX EN -2010

The mission of the Institute for Environment and Sustainability is to provide scientifictechnical support to the European Union's Policies for the protection and sustainable development of the European and global environment.

European Commission Joint Research Centre Institute for Environment and Sustainability

Contact information

Address: EC-JRC, TP 272, via E. Fermi, 2749

21027 Ispra (VA) Italy

E-mail: nadine.gobron@jrc.ec.europa.eu

Tel.: +39 0332 786338 Fax: +39 0332 789073

http://ies.jrc.ec.europa.eu http://www.jrc.ec.europa.eu

Legal Notice

Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of this publication.

A great deal of additional information on the European Union is available on the Internet. It can be accessed through the Europa server http://europa.eu/


JRC [PUBSY request] EUR XXXXX LL ISBN X-XXXX-XXXX-X ISSN 1018-5593

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities

©European Communities, 2010

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged

Printed in Italy





SENTINEL-3 L2 PRODUCTS Issue: 1.1
AND ALGORITHM DEFINITION Date: 01/07/2010

Title: Ocean and Land Colour Instrument (OLCI) FAPAR and Rectified Channels over

Terrestrial Surfaces

Document Number: S3-L2-SD-03-C13-JRC-ATBD_FAPAR_v2.0-100701

Issue: 1.0 Revision: 2.0 Date: 01 July 2010

Signature Table

	Name	Function	Compagny	Signature	Date
Prepared	N. Gobron	AD	JRC		
Approved					
Released					



Table 1. Document Change Record

Version	Date	Description	Approved
Draft	12/10/2008	Draft	
1.1	05/03/2010	First Version -	
		DPM	
2.0	01/04/2010	CDR RID	

Section	Comments
From Draft	Го 1.1
	Ref document updated
Table 1	OLCI Band Number Updated
Table 3	Geophysical scenarios used to simulate the radi-
	ance fields over bare soils added
Table 4	Ex Table 3
3.2	Explicit Band Number instead of blue,red and
	NIR
3.3	New Section: Results over vegetated pixels cre-
	ated
3.4	New Section: Results over bright pixels created
4.1	Assessment of Uncertainties created
Table 12	Pixel labeling criteria values updated
From 1.1 To	2.0
Table 1	Change Record added
Table 2	Band O2's value corrected
4.2	New: Error estimates

1. Introduction	8
1.1. Acronym and Abbreviations	8
1.2. Purpose and Scope	8
1.3. Algorithm identification	9
2. Algorithm overview	9
2.1. Objectives	9
2.2. Instrument characteristics	10
2.3. Retrieval approach	10
3. Algorithm description	12
3.1. Theoretical Description	12
3.2. Mathematical description of the algorithm	16
3.3. Results over vegetated pixels.	19
3.4. Results over bare soils	23
4. Error budget estimates	25
4.1. Assessment of Uncertainties from TOA measurements	25
4.2. Additional Error estimates	26
4.3. Practical consideration	27
5. Assumptions and limitations	29
5.1. Assumptions	29
5.2. Limitations	29
6. Requirements	30
References	30

Contents

1	Left panel: relationship between the BRFs TOC normalized by the anisotropic function F , and BRFs TOA, for all conditions given in Table 3, in the band O10. Right panel: relationship between the "rectified" reflectances and the corresponding BRFs TOC normalized by the anisotropic function F . The various colours represent different values of FAPAR for the plant canopies	
	described in Table 3.	20
2	Same as Figure (1) except for the Band O17.	20
3	The right panel shows the isolines of JRC-FAPAR in the "rectified" spectral space together with the simulated radiances at the top of the atmosphere (see Table 3). The left panel shows the relationship between the index and the FAPAR values.	22
4	Left panel: relationship between the BRFs TOC normalized by the anisotropic	22
4	function F , and BRFs TOA, for all conditions given in Table 4, in the band O10. Right panel: relationship between the "rectified" reflectances and the corresponding BRFs TOC normalized by the anisotropic function F .	24
5	Same as Figure (4) except for the Band O17.	24
6	Theoretical differences between FAPAR computed under isotropic and direct illumination. Simulations have been made with the two-flux model of Pinty et	
	al., 2006.	27

List of Figures

1	Document Change Record	4
2	OLCI Spectral Bandwidths	11
3	Geophysical scenarios used to simulate the radiance fields over vegetation.	15
4	Geophysical scenarios used to simulate the radiance fields over bare soils.	15
5	Illumination and observation geometries used to simulate the radiance fields.	15
6	Values of the parameters for the anisotropic function F .	21
7	Coefficients for the polynomial g_1 .	21
8	Coefficients for the polynomial g_2 .	21
9	Coefficients for the polynomial g_0 .	21
10	Values of the parameters for the anisotropic function F .	23
11	Coefficients for the polynomial g_1 .	23
12	Coefficients for the polynomial g_2 .	23
13	Pixel labeling criteria	28
Lis	t of Tables	





1. Introduction

1.1. Acronym and Abbreviations.

ATBd Algorithm Theoretical Basis document

BRF Bidirectional Reflectance Factor

ECV Essential Climate Variable

FAPAR Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation

GTOS Global Terrestrial Observing System

GCOS Global Climate Observing System

JRC Joint Research Center

OLCI Ocean Land Colour Instrument

TOA Top Of Atmosphere

TOC Top Of Canopy

1.2. **Purpose and Scope.** This Algorithm Theoretical Basis document (ATBd) describes the Joint Research Center (JRC)- procedure used to retrieve information of absorbed photosynthetical radiation by the vegetated terrestrial surfaces from an analysis of the Top Of Atmosphere (TOA) data acquired by the future Ocean Land Colour Instrument (OLCI). The foreseen instrument consists of 21 programmable spectral bands, with a spatial resolution of 300 meters.

The code of the proposed algorithm takes the form of a set of several formulae which transform calibrated spectral directional reflectances into a single numerical value. These formulae are designed to extract the green Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (FAPAR) in the plant canopy from the measurements and the rectified channels in the red and near-infrared bands.

The methodology described in this document has been optimized to assess the presence on the ground of healthy live green vegetation. The main optimization procedure has been constrained to provide an estimate of FAPAR in the plant canopy, although the outputs are expected to be used in a wide range of applications.

This algorithm delivers, in addition to the FAPAR product, the so-called rectified reflectance values in the red and near-infrared spectral bands. These are virtual reflectances largely decontaminated from atmospheric and angular effects. It also provides a categorization of pixel types thanks to a pre-processing identification based on multi-spectral properties. These two virtual reflectances can be also computed over bare soils using specific coefficients.



This document identifies the sources of input data, outlines the physical principles and mathematical background justifying this approach, describes the proposed algorithm, and lists the assumptions and limitations of this technique.

1.3. **Algorithm identification.** The algorithm described below is called the JRC-OLCI FAPAR. It is suitable for any surface applications requiring the monitoring of the state of the land surface.

This document outlines the algorithm which is recommended to generate FAPAR product and associated rectified red and near-infrared reflectance values.

A series of relevant reports and articles are included in the bibliography list.

2. Algorithm overview

2.1. **Objectives.** The bulk of the solar radiation available to the Earth system is absorbed at or near the oceanic and continental surface. This energy is ultimately released to the atmosphere through the fluxes of infrared radiation, as well as sensible and latent heat. The phytosphere, which itself accounts for most of the biomass, affects these exchanges through a surface of contact (leaves) with the atmosphere estimated to be larger than the surface of the entire planet.

The state and evolution of terrestrial vegetation is characterized by a large number of physical, biochemical and physiological variables. Few of these are directly observable from space, but they jointly determine the FAPAR which acts as an integrated indicator of the status and health of the plant canopy, and can reasonably be retrieved by remote sensing techniques. FAPAR plays also a critical role in the biosphere path of the global carbon cycle and in the determination of the primary productivity of the phytosphere.

The properties of terrestrial surfaces thus concern a large number of users through such applications as agriculture, forestry, environmental monitoring, etc. Since plant canopies significantly affect the spectral and directional reflectance of solar radiation, it is expected that the analysis of repeated observations of these reflectances may lead to a better understanding of the fundamental processes controlling the biosphere, which, in turn, will support the definition of sustainable policies of environmental exploitation, and the control of the effectiveness of any adopted rules and regulations.

The FAPAR has been also recognized as one of the fundamental Essential Climate Variable (ECV) by Global Terrestrial Observing System (GTOS) and Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) (GCOS 2003).

A series of FAPAR algorithm has been optimized for various optical instrument such as SeaWiFS (Gobron et al. 2002), VEGETATION (Gobron et al. 2002b),





GLobal Imager (GLI) (Gobron et al. 2002a), MERIS (Gobron et al. 2004) and MODIS (Gobron et al. 2006a) and (Gobron et al. 2006b).

Validation exercises at medium resolution scale have been performed for both SeaWiFS (Gobron et al. 2006) and MERIS (Gobron et al. 2008).

Developing such a FAPAR algorithm for OLCI helps for the continuity of having a long time series of observation requested for any global change applications.

The overall scientific objective of the JRC-FAPAR algorithm is to exploit the spectral reflectance measurements acquired by solar instruments to provide users with reliable qualitative and quantitative information on the state of the plant cover over terrestrial areas. Specifically, the output value is meant to be easily interpreted in terms of FAPAR values.

The design of the JRC-FAPAR requires, in a first step, the estimate of the socalled rectified reflectances at the red and near-infrared wavelengths in order to minimize atmospheric and angular perturbations. These intermediary land surface products should prove useful for documenting the state of the land surfaces and also assessing the spatio-temporal variations in land cover type.

We, therefore, also proposed to compute these rectified channels over bare soils using specific training datasets corresponding to a variety of soils.

These rectified reflectances correspond to the amplitude parameter of the Bidirectional Reflectance Factor (BRF) entering the Rahman, Pinty, Verstraete (RPV) parametric model (Rahman et al. 1993).

These are virtual, *i.e.*, not directly measurable in the field, spectral reflectances which are, at best, decontaminated from atmospheric and angular effects.

2.2. **Instrument characteristics.** The OLCI characteristics are described in **add reference**. For the purpose of this document, it is sufficient to recall that OLCI is designed to collect 21 bands or channels of reflected energy.

The three bands used in our algorithm correspond to the Band O3, O10 and O17 in the blue, red and near-infrared domain, respectively.

The proposed algorithm will thus focus on the exploitation of the spectral variability of the data, keeping in mind the possible perturbing effects that may result from variations in geometry within and between successive images, mainly due to the sun zenith angle and the observation geometry.

2.3. **Retrieval approach.** The specific objective of this document is to describe the algorithm suitable to estimate FAPAR optimized for the OLCI.

AND ALGORITHM DEFINITION

Table 2. OLCI Spectral Bandwidths

Band	Center (Spectral Width) [nm]
O1	400 (15)
O2	412.5 (10)
O3	442.5 (10)
O4	490 (10)
O5	510 (10)
O6	560 (10)
O7	620 (10)
O8	665 (10)
O9	673.5 (7.5)
O10	681.25 (7.5)
O11	708.75 (10)
O12	753.75 (7.5)
O13	761.25 (2.5)
O14	764.375 (3.75)
O15	767.5 (2.5)
O16	778.75 (15)
O17	865 (20)
O18	885 (10)
O19	900 (10)
O20	940 (20)
O21	1020 (40)

The strategy follows the ones already used for a series of optical instrument such as SeaWiFS (Gobron et al. 2002), VEGETATION (Gobron et al. 2002b), GLobal Imager (GLI) (Gobron et al. 2002a), MERIS (Gobron et al. 2004) and MODIS (Gobron et al. 2006a; Gobron et al. 2006b).

The design criteria are:

- (1) to provide a high sensitivity to the Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (FAPAR) when a vegetated area is detected,
- (2) to maintain a low sensitivity to soil and atmospheric conditions whenever vegetation is detected,
- (3) to exploit the multi-band specificity of the sensor,
- (4) to be independent of the geometry of illumination and observation, and
- (5) to offer excellent discrimination capabilities, i.e., the opportunity to distinguish various target types.
- (6) to be independent of the spatial resolution.



3. Algorithm description

3.1. **Theoretical Description.** The general theory behind the design of optimal spectral indices has been described in Verstraete and Pinty (1996), and its specific application to medium resolution instruments has been addressed in Govaerts et al. (1999), Gobron et al. (1999) and Gobron et al. (2000).

The implementation of the algorithm assumes that, 1) the FAPAR can be used to quantify the presence of vegetation and, 2) radiation transfer model simulations can be used to define appropriate scenarios over different representative land surfaces.

FAPAR estimates result from an analysis of multiple measurements with the help of a radiation transfer model in plant canopies using remote sensing observations as constraints.

Total FAPAR (absorbed component) is computed as the balance between sources and sinks, with positive inputs corresponding to:

- Incoming PAR at the top of the canopy (direct and/or diffuse);
- Incoming PAR from propagating horizontally (mostly important at very high spatial resolution);
- Light reflected by the underlying ground (soil and/or understory)

and losses corresponding to:

- Outgoing PAR reflected by the canopy (top and bottom)
- Outgoing PAR propagating horizontally

Leaves-only FAPAR refers to the fraction of PAR radiation absorbed by live leaves only, i.e., contributing to the photosynthetic activity within leaf cells. This quantity is lower than total FAPAR because it does not include PAR absorption by the supporting woody material (in forest) or by dead leaves (in crops). In particular, the retrieval method assumes that the leaves are alive and photosynthesizing, hence the name green FAPAR.

While FAPAR is typically based on an instantaneous measurement, for climate change applications representative daily values are required. They are obtained through direct measurements, or by assuming variation with the cosine of the solar zenith angle to obtain the daily leaves-only FAPAR.

The definition of FAPAR products as a balance of multiple fluxes remains dependent on the atmospheric conditions prevailing at the time of the measurements. In particular, estimates can be generated using direct, diffuse, or global radiation inputs. Knowledge on the type of incoming solar radiation fluxes is essential to properly interpret the data. Similarly FAPAR can also be angularly integrated or



instantaneous (i.e., at the actual sun position of measurement). As is the case for the surface albedo, one can define FAPAR estimates for a variety of atmospheric conditions and integrated in angles, space and times as needed.

JRC-FAPAR refers to the instantaneous and green value definition.

The bulk of the information on the presence of vegetation is contained *a priori* in the red and the near-infrared spectral bands, typically at wavelengths such as O10 and O17 of OLCI.

Addressing the atmospheric problem consists in converting Top Of Atmosphere (TOA) Bidirectional Reflectance Factors (BRFs) into Top Of Canopy (TOC) BRFs. Two classes of atmospheric radiative processes affect the measurements made by space-borne satellites: absorption and scattering. Absorption of radiation by specific gases can be largely avoided by carefully choosing the spectral location of narrow bands.

The effect of scattering cannot be avoided, and both molecular and aerosol scattering are strongly dependent on the wavelength of radiation. Hence, measurements in the blue region of the solar spectrum will provide values much more sensitive to atmospheric scattering than at longer wavelengths. In this approach, the characterization of plant canopies over fully or partially vegetated pixels currently relies on the analysis of data in 3 OLCI spectral bands, namely O3 at $442.5 \ nm$, Band O10 at $681.25 \ nm$, and Band O17 at $865 \ nm$.

A Look Up Table (LUT) of bidirectional reflectance factors representing the OLCI like data is created using the physically-based semi-discrete model of Gobron et al. (1997) to represent the spectral and directional reflectance of horizontally homogeneous plant canopies, as well as to compute the values of FAPAR in each of them. The soil data required to specify the lower boundary condition in this model were taken from Price (1995).

In the case of bare soil simulations the Hapke model (Hapke 1981) is used with a fixed hot-spot parameter equal to 0.2 and an asymetry factor equal to -0.1. The value of single albedo, for each spectral bands, have been inverted to obtain the same albedo value as the lambertian assumption is made. The various geophysical scenarios performed to simulate the radiance fields are summarized in Table 4 and the geometrical conditions of illumination and observation are given in Table 5.

The spectral values for the leaf reflectance and transmittance were simulated using the leaf spectral model from Jacquemoud and Baret (1990) using standard leaf properties which correspond to the following biochemical values:

- Leaf internal structure parameter = 1.75,
- Leaf chlorophyll a+b content = $48.6 \ \mu g cm^{-2}$,





- Leaf equivalent water thickness = $0.0115 \ cm$,
- Leaf protein content = $0.00096 \ qcm^{-2}$ and
- Leaf cellulose+lignin content = $0.00168 \ qcm^{-2}$.

The Second Simulation of the Satellite Signal in the Solar Spectrum (6S) atmospheric model of Vermote et al. (1997) is used to represent the atmospheric absorption and scattering effects on the measured reflectances.

The FAPAR values are computed using the closure of the energy balance inside the plant canopy in the spectral range 400 to 700 nm. The various geophysical scenarios performed to simulate the radiance fields are summarized in Table 3 and the geometrical conditions of illumination and observation are given in Table 5. The sampling of the vegetation parameters and angular values were chosen to cover a wide range of environmental conditions. These simulations constitute the basic information used to optimize the formulae. The sampling selected to generate the LUT has been chosen so as to generate a robust global FAPAR algorithm.

AND ALGORITHM DEFINITION

Table 3. Geophysical scenarios used to simulate the radiance fields over vegetation.

Medium	Variable	Meaning	Range of values
Atmosphere model	$ au_s$	Aerosol opt. thickness	.05, .2 , .35 , .5 , .65 and .8
(Vermote $et \ al., 1997$)			
Vegetation model	LAI	Leaf Area Index	0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5
(Gobron $et \ al., 1997$)	H_c	Height of Canopy	$0.5~\mathrm{m}$ and $2~\mathrm{m}$
	d_ℓ	Equivalent diameter	$0.01~\mathrm{m}$ and $0.05~\mathrm{m}$
		of single leaf	
	LAD	Leaf Angle Distribution	Erectophile, Planophile
Soil data base	r_s	Soil reflectance	5 soil spectra,
(Price, 1995)			from dark to bright

Table 4. Geophysical scenarios used to simulate the radiance fields over bare soils.

Medium	Variable	Meaning	Range of values
Atmosphere model	$ au_s$	Aerosol opt. thickness	.05, .2 , .35 , .5 , .65 and .8
(Vermote $et\ al.,\ 1997$)			
Vegetation model	LAI	Leaf Area Index	0 and 0.05
(Gobron $et al., 1997$)	H_c	Height of Canopy	$0.5~\mathrm{m}$ and $2~\mathrm{m}$
	d_ℓ	Equivalent diameter	$0.01~\mathrm{m}$ and $0.05~\mathrm{m}$
		of single leaf	
	LAD	Leaf Angle Distribution	Erectophile, Planophile
Soil data base	r_s	Soil reflectance	7 soil spectra,
(Price, 1995)			from dark to very bright
Soil Model	w_s	Single Scattering Albedo	from dark to bright
(Pinty et al., 1997)	h_s	Hot-spot factor	0.2
	θ	Asymmetry Factor	-0.1

Table 5. Illumination and observation geometries used to simulate the radiance fields.

Variable	Angle	Values
θ_0	Solar zenith angle	$20^{\circ}, 30^{\circ} \text{ and } 50^{\circ}$
θ_v	Sensor zenith angle	$0^{\circ}, 20^{\circ} \text{ and } 40^{\circ}$
ϕ	Sun-Sensor relative azimuth	$0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 135^{\circ} \text{ and } 180^{\circ}$

Once this LUT is created, the design of the algorithm consists in defining the mathematical combination of spectral bands which will best account for the variations



of the variable of interest (here, FAPAR) on the basis of (simulated) measurements, while minimizing the effect of perturbing factors such as atmospheric or angular effects. This process is described in the next section.

All spectral simulations are done using specific spectral response of each band derived from MERIS instrument (Bourg, personal communication).

- 3.2. Mathematical description of the algorithm. The proposed algorithm to compute the FAPAR value, is organized around three main consecutive steps.
 - (1) As mentioned previously because of the sampling strategy that will be implemented by OLCI in the angular domain, it is not possible to retrieve the anisotropy of the radiance field. A parametric anisotropic function is implemented to account for variations in the signal due to changes in the geometrical conditions. The bidirectional reflectance model of Rahman et al. (1993) (RPV) is assumed to be appropriate for this task:

(1)
$$\rho_i(\theta_0, \theta_v, \phi) = \rho_{i0} F(\theta_0, \theta_v, \phi; k_i, \Theta_i^{hg}, \rho_{ic})$$

where F characterizes the anisotropy of the medium in terms of three unknown parameters, namely k_i , Θ_i^{hg} and ρ_{ic} which depend exclusively on the intrinsic properties of the type of geophysical system for a given spectral band i. The function $F(\Omega; k_i, \Theta_i^{hg}, \rho_{ic})$ with $\Omega = (\theta_0, \theta_v, \phi)$ is given by:

(2)
$$F(\Omega; k_i, \Theta_i^{hg}, \rho_{ic}) = f_1(\theta_0, \theta_v, k_i) f_2(\Omega, \Theta_i^{hg}) f_3(\Omega, \rho_{ic})$$

where

(3)
$$f_1(\theta_0, \theta_v, k_i) = \frac{(\cos \theta_0 \cos \theta_v)^{k_i - 1}}{(\cos \theta_0 + \cos \theta_v)^{1 - k_i}}$$

(4)
$$f_2(\Omega, \Theta_i^{hg}) = \frac{1 - \Theta_i^{hg^2}}{\left(1 + 2\Theta_i^{hg} \cos g + \Theta_i^{hg^2}\right)^{3/2}}$$

(5)
$$f_3(\Omega, \rho_{ic}) = 1 + \frac{1 - \rho_{ic}}{1 + G}$$

with

(6)
$$G = \left(\tan^2 \theta_0 + \tan^2 \theta_v - 2 \tan \theta_0 \tan \theta_v \cos \phi\right)^{1/2}$$

(7)
$$\cos g = \cos \theta_0 \cos \theta_v + \sin \theta_0 \sin \theta_v \cos \phi$$

The characterization of a geophysical system with the RPV model thus requires the estimation of four parameter values, namely ρ_{i0} , k_i , Θ_i^{hg} and, ρ_{ic} which are independent of the geometry of illumination and observation Ω .



The parameters intervening in function F are optimized separately in the three bands using the simulated BRFs emerging at the top of atmosphere.

- (2) The information contained in the band O3 (blue) is combined with that in the bands O10 and O17 (red and near-infrared) traditionally used to monitor vegetation, in order to generate "rectified bands" at these latter two wavelengths. The "rectification" is done in such a way as to minimize the difference between those rectified bands and the spectral reflectances that would have been measured at the top of the canopy under identical geometrical conditions but in the absence of the atmosphere.
- (3) The FAPAR value is then generated on the basis of these "rectified bands".

The proposed algorithm assumes that ratios of polynomials are appropriate to generate both the "rectified bands" with the following generic formula:

(8)
$$g_n(x,y) = \frac{l_{n,1}(x+l_{n,2})^2 + l_{n,3}(y+l_{n,4})^2 + l_{n,5}xy}{l_{n,6}(x+l_{n,7})^2 + l_{n,8}(y+l_{n,9})^2 + l_{n,10}xy + l_{n,11}}$$

where x and y are the spectral bands at the appropriate step. The L7OF formula itself is given by the following formulae:

(9)
$$g_0(x,y) = \frac{l_{0,1} y - l_{0,2} x - l_{0,3}}{(l_{0,4} - x)^2 + (l_{0,5} - y)^2 + l_{0,6}}$$

(10)
$$JRC-FAPAR = g_0(\rho_{RO10}, \rho_{RO17})$$

where ρ_{RO10} and ρ_{RO17} are the rectified reflectance values in the red and near-infrared bands described above. These, in turn, are estimated with

(11)
$$\rho_{RO10} = g_1(\tilde{\rho}_{O3}, \tilde{\rho}_{O10})$$

(12)
$$\rho_{RO17} = g_2(\tilde{\rho}_{O3}, \tilde{\rho}_{O17})$$

where

(13)
$$\tilde{\rho}_i = \frac{\rho_i^*(\theta_0, \theta_v, \phi)}{F(\theta_0, \theta_v, \phi; k_i, \Theta_i^{hg}, \rho_{ic})}$$

and where ρ_i^* denotes the (simulated) top of atmosphere bidirectional reflectance factor in band i, while $\tilde{\rho}_i$ is the bidirectional reflectance factor normalized by the anisotropic function F. An optimization procedure is applied to retrieve successively the optimal values of the coefficients intervening in the three steps mentioned above, namely k_i , Θ_i^{hg} and ρ_{ic} , and $l_{n,j}$ for the polynomials g_n , both for the rectified bands and for the final index itself.

(1) In the first step, it is assumed that the anisotropic shapes of the BRFs simulated at the top of the atmosphere may change with the spectral wavelength of interest, but do not depend on the geophysical systems specified to generate the BRFs. Accordingly, for a given spectral band, the three



parameters of the anisotropic function F are forced to be constant over the entire set of geophysical scenarios considered. In practice, this condition is achieved by minimizing the following cost functions:

(14)
$$\delta_i^2 = \sum_{\zeta,\Omega} \left[\left(\frac{\rho_i^*(\Omega)}{F(\Omega; k_i, \Theta_i^{hg}, \rho_{ic})} \right) - \tilde{\rho}_i \right]^2 \to 0$$

where ζ represents the geophysical domain and Ω the angular domain over which the optimization is sought.

Since $\tilde{\rho}_i$ is assumed to be constant in the RPV model for each individual geophysical system taken separately, we can estimate the mean value of the BRFs over the Ω space for every geophysical system:

(15)
$$\frac{1}{N_{obs}} \sum_{\Omega} \rho_i^*(\Omega_j) = \frac{1}{N_{obs}} \sum_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_i \times F(\Omega_j; k_i, \Theta_i^{hg}, \rho_{ic})$$

(16)
$$= \tilde{\rho}_i \frac{1}{N_{obs}} \sum_{\Omega} F(\Omega_j; k_i, \Theta_i^{hg}, \rho_{ic})$$

where N_{obs} is the total number of angular situations. The model coefficient $\tilde{\rho}_i$ is thus approximated for each geophysical system as

(17)
$$\tilde{\rho}_i = \frac{1}{N_{obs}} \sum_{\Omega} \rho_i^*(\Omega_j) / \frac{1}{N_{obs}} \sum_{\Omega} F(\Omega_j; k_i, \Theta_i^{hg}, \rho_{ic})$$

The cost function is rewritten as follows:

$$\delta_i^2 = \sum_{\zeta} \left[\frac{\rho_i^*(\Omega)}{F(\Omega; k_i, \Theta_i^{hg}, \rho_{ic})} \frac{1}{N_{obs}} \sum_{\Omega} F(\Omega_j; k_i, \Theta_i^{hg}, \rho_{ic}) - \frac{1}{N_{obs}} \sum_{\Omega} \rho_i^*(\Omega_j) \right]^2 \to 0$$

(2) To satisfy the various requirements described above, the optimization procedure is applied in the Band O9 and O16 separately, to derive the coefficients of g_1 and g_2 . This is achieved by minimizing the following cost functions:

(19)
$$\delta_{g_i}^2 = \sum_{\zeta} \left[g_i(\tilde{\rho}_{O3}, \tilde{\rho}_i) - \tilde{\rho}_i^{TOC} \right]^2 \to 0.$$

where

(20)
$$\tilde{\rho}_i^{TOC} = \frac{\rho_i^{TOC}(\Omega)}{F(\Omega, k_i^{TOC}, \Theta_i^{hg,TOC}, \rho_{ic}^{TOC})}$$

for which the anisotropic parameters, namely k_i^{TOC} , $\Theta_i^{hg,TOC}$, ρ_{ic}^{TOC} , were previously optimized at the top of canopy level.

01/07/2010





(3) Following the rectification of the BRFs in the previous step, the coefficients of g_0 are evaluated by minimizing the following cost function:

(21)
$$\delta_{g_0}^2 = \sum_{\zeta} \left[g_0(\rho_{RO10}, \rho_{RO17}) - \text{FAPAR}(\mu_0) \right]^2 \to 0.$$

In other words, output are forced to take on values as close as possible to the $FAPAR(\mu_0)$ associated with the specified plant canopy scenarios. The simulated top-of-atmosphere spectral and directional reflectances generated by the coupled model are exploited with an extended version of the FACOSI tool (Govaerts et al. 1999) to adjust the formulae on the basis of the given set of equations.


3.3. **Results over vegetated pixels.** The numerical results are summarized in Tables 6 to 9.

Figures (1) and (2) illustrate the impact of the "rectification" procedure, which combines TOA reflectances in the Band O3 with TOA reflectances in the Bands O10 and O17, respectively. The left panels on these figures show the relationships between the spectral BRFs TOC normalized by the anisotropic function F, and BRFs TOA for all geophysical and angular scenarios described in Table 3. The scattering of the points is caused by changes in the atmospheric conditions and by the relative geometry of illumination and observation. The right panels show the effect of the "rectification" process, which reduces this dispersion. A perfect "rectification" would collapse all points on the 1:1 line for each of the surface types considered. It can be seen that this process is particularly efficient over dense vegetation, and that it reduces the systematic bias due to atmospheric effects on BRFs in both bands.

Figure (3) provides information on the performance of the algorithm in term of providing FAPAR values from the BRF TOA values. The right panel shows the isolines of the JRC-FAPAR values in the spectral space of the rectified bands in the red (x-axis) and near-infrared (y-axis). It can be seen that the values varies between 0 and 1 over partially and fully vegetated surfaces and takes negative values out of the spectral domain of interest. The left panel of the same figure shows that JRC-FAPAR output is close to the FAPAR with a root mean square deviation closed to 0.05. Most of the remaining variability is probably caused by the various conditions that were considered in the geophysical scenarios (see Table 3). In fact, this variability results from conflicting requirements on the insensitivity of the algorithm to soil, atmospheric and geometrical effects in the OLCI spectral bands.

01/07/2010

SENTINEL-3 L2 PRODUCTS AND ALGORITHM DEFINITION







FIGURE 1. Left panel: relationship between the BRFs TOC normalized by the anisotropic function F, and BRFs TOA, for all conditions given in Table 3, in the band O10. Right panel: relationship between the "rectified" reflectances and the corresponding BRFs TOC normalized by the anisotropic function F. The various colours represent different values of FAPAR for the plant canopies described in Table 3.






FIGURE 2. Same as Figure (1) except for the Band O17.

SENTINEL-3 L2 PRODUCTS AND ALGORITHM DEFINITION

Table 6. Values of the parameters for the anisotropic function F.

band	Parameter values			
	$ ho_{ic}$	k_i	Θ_i^{hg}	
Blue $(O3)^{(a)}$	0.3061	0.51508	-0.04417	
Red $(O10)^{(b)}$	-0.39471	0.66361	0.0384	
NIR $(O17)^{(a)}$	0.66537	0.86633	-0.00705	

(a) Optimization using TOA & TOC vegetated and bare soil BRFs simulations.

(b) Optimization using TOA & TOC vegetated BRFs simulations.

TABLE 7. Coefficients for the polynomial g_1 .

$\overline{l_{1,1}}$	$l_{1,2}$	$l_{1,3}$	$l_{1,4}$	$l_{1,5}$	
-9.0001	-0.028792	3.19	0.0545	9.8515	
$l_{1,6}$	$l_{1,7}$	$l_{1,8}$	$l_{1,9}$	$l_{1,10}$	$l_{1,11}$
0	0	0	0	0	1.0


(a) Optimization using TOA & TOC vegetated and bare soil BRFs simulations. Table 8. Coefficients for the polynomial g_2 .

$\overline{l_{2,1}}$	$l_{2,2}$	$l_{2,3}$	$l_{2,4}$	$l_{2,5}$	
0.15386	1.7874	-1.1102	-0.72405	-5.0787	
$l_{2,6}$	$l_{2,7}$	$l_{2,8}$	$l_{2,9}$	$l_{2,10}$	$l_{2,11}$
-0.71963	0.92737	0.0019379	-29.039	-7.6334	

(a) Optimization using TOA & TOC vegetated and bare soil BRFs simulations. Table 9. Coefficients for the polynomial g_0 .

$l_{0,1}$	$l_{0,2}$	$l_{0,3}$	$l_{0,4}$	$l_{0,5}$	$l_{0,6}$
0.257897	0.28435	-0.00436760	-0.3248900	0.3189000	-0.005489

 $^{(a)}$ Optimization using TOA & TOC vegetated and bare soil BRFs simulations.






FIGURE 3. The right panel shows the isolines of JRC-FAPAR in the "rectified" spectral space together with the simulated radiances at the top of the atmosphere (see Table 3). The left panel shows the relationship between the index and the FAPAR values.



3.4. **Results over bare soils.** The numerical results are summarized in Tables 10 and 12.

Table 10. Values of the parameters for the anisotropic function F.

band	Parameter values		
	$ ho_{ic}$	k_i	Θ_i^{hg}
Blue (O3)	0.48842	0.66215	-0.02987
Red (O10)	0.59027	0.87258	-0.00698
NIR (O17)	0.68555	0.89986	-0.01674

Table 11. Coefficients for the polynomial g_1 .

$\overline{l_{1,1}}$	$l_{1,2}$	$l_{1,3}$	$l_{1,4}$	$l_{1,5}$	
0.48399	0.37536	-0.06403	1.3535	-2.9305	
$l_{1,6}$	$l_{1,7}$	$l_{1,8}$	$l_{1,9}$	$l_{1,10}$	$l_{1,11}$
-0.014252	6.1098	-5.3845	-0.18086	1.96610	0.0


Table 12. Coefficients for the polynomial g_2 .

$\overline{l_{2,1}}$	$l_{2,2}$	$l_{2,3}$	$l_{2,4}$	$l_{2,5}$	
0.026035	-0.32729	-0.016449	0.11638	0.1895	
$l_{2,6}$	$l_{2,7}$	$l_{2,8}$	$l_{2,9}$	$l_{2,10}$	$l_{2,11}$
-0.39964	-0.17237	0.12009	-0.54503	0.28968	0.0

Figures (4) and (5) illustrate the impact of the "rectification" procedure over bare soils, which combines TOA reflectances in the Band O3 with TOA reflectances in the Bands O10 and O17, respectively. The left panels on these figures show the relationships between the spectral BRFs TOC normalized by the anisotropic function F, and BRFs TOA for all geophysical and angular scenarios described in Table 4. The scattering of the points is caused by changes in the atmospheric conditions and by the relative geometry of illumination and observation. The right panels show the effect of the "rectification" process, which reduces this dispersion. A perfect "rectification" would collapse all points on the 1:1 line for each of the surface types considered.

01/07/2010









FIGURE 4. Left panel: relationship between the BRFs TOC normalized by the anisotropic function F, and BRFs TOA, for all conditions given in Table 4, in the band O10. Right panel: relationship between the "rectified" reflectances and the corresponding BRFs TOC normalized by the anisotropic function F.






FIGURE 5. Same as Figure (4) except for the Band O17.



4. Error budget estimates

Since the algorithm is optimized to provide a high sensitivity to FAPAR, a measurable biophysical variable, its capacity to detect the presence of green vegetation can be objectively assessed. For the particular geophysical scenarios in Table 3 and angular sampling given in Table 5, the root mean square deviation value of the fit between these two quantities is 0.05. Following the method proposed by Leprieur et al. (1994), the performance is evaluated with the help of a signal to noise ratio.

In the present case, it was found that the signal to noise ratio of the algorithm is equal to 21.5.

4.1. Assessment of Uncertainties from TOA measurements. The uncertainties of g_i function can be first evaluated through a propagation error formulae:

(22)
$$|\Delta g_i(x_j)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{j=N} |\frac{\delta g_i(x_j)}{\delta(x_j)}|^2 \times |\Delta x_j|^2$$

were x_j and Δx_j are TOA BRFs and associated uncertainties in bands O3, O10 and O17, respectively.

The optimization of FAPAR algorithm gave a global theoretical accuracy between JRC-FAPAR and FAPAR simulated by RT model of 0.05 but this latter value can be evaluated on pixel value using additional error estimates described in the next section.

The total uncertainties of the algorithm for computed the FAPAR values can be therefore computed as the sum of the propagation error and the optimization error:

(23)
$$|\Delta FAPAR| = |\Delta g_0(x_i)| + |\Delta FAPAR^{the}|$$

The associated uncertainties of the two rectified channels can be also computed such as:

(24)
$$|\Delta \rho_{R\lambda}| = |\Delta g_k(x_i)| + |\Delta \rho_{R\lambda}^{the}|$$

where k = 1(2) when $\lambda = O10(O17)$ respectively and $\Delta \rho_{R\lambda}^{the}$ are the uncertainties derived during the optimization processes. (Note that the latter values depend on pixels identification, *i.e.* vegetated or soil).



4.2. Additional Error estimates.

4.2.1. Radiative Transfer Models. The retrieval algorithm for assessing the FAPAR and rectified channels is physically based through four radiative transfer models, i.e. the semi-discrete model for vegetated canopies (Gobron et al. 1997), the Hapke modified soil model (Pinty et al. 1989), the 6S model for the atmosphere (Vermote et al. 1997) and the RPV parametric model (Rahman et al. 1993) for removing angular effects. Review on scientific literature assess the quality of these models which have been already benchmarked during international intercomparisons (http://rami-benchmark.jrc.ec.europa.eu).

Using these RT models imply the use of assumptions which can be taken into account for error estimates.

- 4.2.2. Topographic effects. Previous studies by (Combal and Isaka 2002) on the effect of topographic variations on top of canopy reflectance values in the red and near-infrared bands show that BRF TOC may differ from that of horizontal terrain from less than 5% when the sun zenith angle is less than 40°. A maximum value of 10% occurs when the sun zenith angle is 60° at forward scattering directions for slopes of 10° or less. These differences depend on leaf area index values but are in the same directions in the red and near-infrared bands (see figure 3 of (Combal and Isaka 2002)). Translating these findings through the FAPAR error estimates could be done by adding a value of uncertainties to the BRF TOC in Eq. 22 which would depend on solar zenith angle and slope values. This latter study is not foreseen at the moment and the maximum value found by (Combal and Isaka 2002) can be used.
- 4.2.3. FAPAR definition. As it has been said in previous section 3.1, this algorithm computes the instantaneous FAPAR for 'green' leaf under direct incoming radiation. The difference coming from this assumption against alternative FAPAR definition can be also added as errors estimates.

As example, Figure 6 shows the relationship between the FAPAR computing under direct versus isotropic incoming radiation under the assumption of green leaf color. We used the two-flux model of (Pinty et al. 2006) for simulate the absorption in the visible band. Each color corresponds to sun zenith angle value. We can see that depending on the FAPAR value and the illumination position, the difference is negative (positive) with low (large) sun zenith angles.

We can therefore propose to users having such difference as an error estimate. For each retrieval value of FAPAR from OLCI, this value can be provided at a given illumination geometry through either a Look Up Table or parametric relation.

01/07/2010






FIGURE 6. Theoretical differences between FAPAR computed under isotropic and direct illumination. Simulations have been made with the two-flux model of Pinty et al., 2006.

4.3. Practical consideration.

4.3.1. Quality control and diagnostics. A simple approach is proposed to associate a label to each pixel of the OLCI data in order to optimize the various steps of the processing to be achieved over terrestrial surfaces.

Table 13 indicates the tests applied and the associated categories for discriminating the major geophysical systems (also identified with an identification number), namely clouds, bright surfaces, vegetated surfaces and water bodies. In the data product, the various identification numbers correspond to a set of flag values.

As can be seen from Table 13, the pixel labeling is performed on the basis of an ensemble of thresholds using only the values in the spectral bands used in the algorithm. For each geophysical category, the ensemble of tests has been established on the basis of knowledge of the multi-spectral signatures of the geophysical systems. The proposed approach classifies the vast majority of the pixels without requiring any other ancillary information. A more sophisticated labeling scheme could not be reasonably considered given the processing constraints imposed by the computing resources. However, any other mask made in other OLCI products definition package can be added to the top of this one.

SENTINEL-3 L2 PRODUCTS AND ALGORITHM DEFINITION

Table 13. Pixel labeling criteria

Identification number (ID)	Spectral tests	Associated categories
0	$0 < \rho_{O3} < 0.3$ and $0 < \rho_{O10} < 0.5$ and $0 < \rho_{O17} < 0.7$ and $0 < \rho_{O3} \le \rho_{O17}$ and $\rho_{O17} \ge \rho_{O10}$	land surface
1	$\rho_{O3} \le 0$ or $\rho_{O10} \le 0$ or $\rho_{O17} \le 0$	bad data
2	$ \rho_{O3} \ge 0.3 $ or $\rho_{O10} \ge 0.5$ or $\rho_{O17} \ge 0.7$	cloud, snow and ice
3	$0 < \rho_{O3} < 0.3$ and $0 < \rho_{O10} < 0.5$ and $0 < \rho_{O17} < 0.7$ and $\rho_{O3} > \rho_{O17}$	water body and deep shadow
4	$0 < \rho_{O3} < 0.3$ and $0 < \rho_{O10} < 0.5$ and $0 < \rho_{O17} < 0.7$ and $0 < \rho_{O3} \le \rho_{O17}$ and $1.3 \rho_{O9} > \rho_{O17}$	bright surface
5	$ \rho_{R_{O9}} < 0 \text{ or } \rho_{R_{O17}} < 0 $	undefined
6	FAPAR < 0	no vegetation
7	FAPAR > 1	vegetation (out of bounds)

4.3.2. *Output*. The output generated by this algorithm consists in one FAPAR value, one value for the rectified red and near-infrared plus their own uncertainties, respectively.



SENTINEL-3 L2 PRODUCTS AND ALGORITHM DEFINITION

The output field also contains the description of the geometry of illumination and observation, and one flag value for each pixel in the data input stream.

The flag value corresponds to the identification (ID) numbers described in section 4.3.1.

If the ID value is equal to 0, the value of FAPAR and rectified values are considered valid and the physical range of values lies in between 0 and 1.0.

If the ID number is equal either to 1 ("bad data"), 2 ("cloud, snow and ice") or 3 ("water body and deep shadow"), the value of FAPAR and rectified values have not been computed and the reported values are equal to its error value.

If the ID number is equal to 4 ("bright surface"), the value of FAPAR has been set at 0 and rectified values are considered valid and the physical range of values lies in between 0 and 1.0.

If ID number is equal to 5 ("undefined"), the reported values are set to its error value.

If the ID number is equal to 6, the value was less than 0 and the reported value is equal to its error value.

If the ID number is equal to 7, the value was larger than 1 and the reported value is reset to 1 for the FAPAR.

5. Assumptions and Limitations

- 5.1. **Assumptions.** The following assumptions have been made in the design of the JRC-FAPAR OLCI:
 - (1) The spectral reflectances used as input to this algorithm have to be corrected for the seasonally variable distance between the Earth and the Sun.
 - (2) The plane-parallel approximation for radiation transfer has been assumed to be valid in the atmosphere.
 - (3) Plant canopies are assumed to be horizontally homogeneous within the OLCI pixel.
 - (4) All orographic effects have been ignored.
 - (5) Adjacency effects have been ignored.
- 5.2. **Limitations.** The following limitations apply to the algorithm described in this version of the document:
 - (1) The retrieval of vegetation characteristics in hilly or mountainous regions may or may not be reliable. If the approach turns out to be unreliable in



the presence of significant topographical features, additional tests may have to be implemented to screen out these regions on the basis of appropriate Digital Elevation Model (DEM) data. This would imply access to the corresponding elevation data sets, to reliably navigated OLCI data, and the presence of an additional orographic flag.

AND ALGORITHM DEFINITION

- (2) The optimization of the algorithm was performed using a set of simulated TOA reflectance values which are expected to represent the most commonly encountered geophysical conditions. Although a wide range of possibilities were investigated, there is no guarantee that the most common geophysical scenarios have been implemented.
- (3) The sun zenith angle should be lower than 60° (due to the limitation of the radiative transfer models.)
- (4) The viewing zenith angle should be smaller than 40°

6. Requirements

The implementation of the proposed algorithm to estimate FAPAR and rectified channels requires three different types of information, namely, the input data from the OLCI sensor, a set of ancillary data and a set of mathematical functions (Equations 8 to 13). The ancillary data are the set of coefficients given in Tables 6 to 9 over vegetated surfaces and in Tables 10 to 12 over bare soil surfaces.

The input data are the BRFs measured by the instrument at O3, O10 and O17 bands, together with the geometrical conditions of illumination and observation, namely θ_0, θ_v, ϕ . The sun-sensor relative azimuth, ϕ , is limited to the range [0°, 180°] and the backscatter/hot spot (forwardscatter/specular) direction is defined at 0° (180°).

References

- Combal, B. and H. Isaka (2002). The effect of small topographic variations on reflectance. Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on 3, 663 670.
- GCOS (2003). Summary Report of the eleventh session of the WMO-IOC-UNEP-ICSU (WMO/TD-No.1189). Report Melbourne, Australia, April 7-10 GCOS-87.
- Gobron, N., O. Aussedat, and P. Bernard (2006a). Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer, JRC-FAPAR Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document. EUR Report No. 22164 EN, Institute for Environment and Sustainability.
- Gobron, N., O. Aussedat, and P. Bernard (2006b). Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer, JRC-FAPAR Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document. EUR Report No. 22164 EN, Institute for Environment and Sustainability.






- Gobron, N., O. Aussedat, B. Pinty, M. Taberner, and M. M. Verstraete (2004). Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) - Level 2 Land Surface Products - Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document-Revision 3.0. EUR Report No. 21387 EN, Institute for Environment and Sustainability.
- Gobron, N., B. Pinty, O. Aussedat, J. M. Chen, W. B. Cohen, R. Fensholt, V. Gond, K. F. Huemmrich, T. Lavergne, F. Mlin, J. L. Privette, I. Sandholt, M. Taberner, D. P. Turner, M. Verstraete, and J.-l. Widlowski (2006). Evaluation of FAPAR Products for Different Canopy Radiation Transfer Regimes: Methodology and Results using JRC Products Derived from SeaWiFS against ground-based estimations. Journal of Geophysical Research 111.
- Gobron, N., B. Pinty, O. Aussedat, M. Taberner, O. Faber, F. Mélin, T. Lavergne, M. Robustelli, and P. Snoeij (2008). Uncertainty estimates for the FAPAR operational products derived from MERIS Impact of top-of-atmosphere radiance uncertainties and validation with field data. Remote Sensing of Environment 112, 18711883.
- Gobron, N., B. Pinty, F. Mélin, M. Taberner, and M. M. Verstraete (2002). Sea Wide Field-of-View Sensor (SeaWiFS) - An optimized FAPAR algorithm -Theoretical Basis Document. EUR Report No. 20148 EN, Institute for Environment and Sustainability.
- Gobron, N., B. Pinty, M. M. Verstraete, and Y. Govaerts (1997). A semi-discrete model for the scattering of light by vegetation. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 102, 9431–9446.
- Gobron, N., B. Pinty, M. M. Verstraete, and Y. Govaerts (1999). The MERIS Global Vegetation Index (MGVI): description and preliminary application. *International Journal of Remote Sensing 20*, 1917–1927.
- Gobron, N., B. Pinty, M. M. Verstraete, and M. Taberner (2002a). Global Land Imager (GLI) - An optimized FAPAR algorithm - Theoretical Basis Document. EUR Report No. 20147 EN, Institute for Environment and Sustainability.
- Gobron, N., B. Pinty, M. M. Verstraete, and M. Taberner (2002b). VEGETA-TION An optimized FAPAR algorithm Theoretical Basis Document. EUR Report No. 20146 EN, Institute for Environment and Sustainability.
- Gobron, N., B. Pinty, M. M. Verstraete, and J.-L. Widlowski (2000). Advanced spectral algorithm and new vegetation indices optimized for up coming sensors: Development, accuracy and applications. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 38, 2489–2505.
- Govaerts, Y., M. M. Verstraete, B. Pinty, and N. Gobron (1999). Designing optimal spectral indices: a feasibility and proof of concept study. *International Journal of Remote Sensing 20*, 1853–1873.
- Hapke, B. (1981). Bidirectional reflectance spectroscopy. I. Theory. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 86, 3039–3054.



- Jacquemoud, S. and F. Baret (1990). PROSPECT: A model of leaf optical properties spectra. Remote Sensing of Environment 34, 75–91.
- Leprieur, C., M. M. Verstraete, and B. Pinty (1994). Evaluation of the performance of various vegetation indices to retrieve vegetation cover from AVHRR data. *Remote Sensing Reviews* 10, 265–284.
- Pinty, B., T. Lavergne, R. Dickinson, J.-L. Widlowski, N. Gobron, and M. M. Verstraete (2006). Simplifying the Interaction of Land Surfaces with Radiation for Relating Remote Sensing Products to Climate Models. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 11.
- Pinty, B., M. M. Verstraete, and R. E. Dickinson (1989). A physical model for predicting bidirectional reflectances over bare soil. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 27, 273–288.
- Price, J. C. (1995). Examples of high resolution visible to near-infrared reflectance spectra and a standardized collection for remote sensing studies. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 16, 993–1000.
- Rahman, H., B. Pinty, and M. M. Verstraete (1993). Coupled surface-atmosphere reflectance (CSAR) model. 2. Semiempirical surface model usable with NOAA Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer data. *Journal of Geo*physical Research 98, 20,791–20,801.
- Vermote, E., D. Tanré, J. L. Deuzé, M. Herman, and J. J. Morcrette (1997). Second simulation of the satellite signal in the solar spectrum: An overview. IEEE Trans. Geoscience Remote Sensing 35-3, 675-686.
- Verstraete, M. M. and B. Pinty (1996). Designing optimal spectral indices for remote sensing applications. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote* Sensing 34, 1254–1265.



Issue: 1.1







AND ALGORITHM DEFINITION Date: 01/07/2010

European Commission

EUR XXXXX LL - Joint Research Centre - Institute for Environment and Sustainability

Title: Ocean and Land Colour Instrument (OLCI) FAPAR and Rectified Channels over Terrestrial Surfaces Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document.

Author(s): Nadine Gobron

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities

2010 - nnnn pp. - x cm

EUR - Scientific and Technical Research series - ISSN 1018-5593

ISBN X-XXXX-XXXX-X

Abstract

This Algorithm Theoretical Basis document (ATBd) describes the Joint Research Center (JRC)-procedure used to retrieve information of absorbed photosynthetical radiation by the vegetated terrestrial surfaces from an analysis of the Top Of Atmosphere (TOA) data acquired by the future Ocean Land Colour Instrument (OLCI). The foreseen instrument consists of 21 programmable spectral bands, with a spatial resolution of 300 meters.

The code of the proposed algorithm takes the form of a set of several formulae which transform calibrated spectral directional reflectances into a single numerical value. These formulae are designed to extract the green Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (FAPAR) in the plant canopy from the measurements and the rectified channels in the red and near-infrared bands.

The methodology described in this document has been optimized to assess the presence on the ground of healthy live green vegetation. The main optimization procedure has been constrained to provide an estimate of FAPAR in the plant canopy, although the outputs are expected to be used in a wide range of applications.

This algorithm delivers, in addition to the FAPAR product, the so-called rectified reflectance values in the red and near-infrared spectral bands. These are virtual reflectances largely decontaminated from atmospheric and angular effects. It also provides a categorization of pixel types thanks to a pre-processing identification based on multi-spectral properties. These two virtual reflectances can be also computed over bare soils.

Document Ref:

S3-L2-SD-03-C13-JRC-ATBD_FAPAR_v2.0-100701



SENTINEL-3 L2 PRODUCTS Issue: 1.1
AND ALGORITHM DEFINITION Date: 01/07/2010

The mission of the JRC is to provide customer-driven scientific and technical support for the conception, development, implementation and monitoring of EU policies. As a service of the European Commission, the JRC functions as a reference centre of science and technology for the Union. Close to the policy-making process, it serves the common interest of the Member States, while being independent of special interests, whether private or national.